Leadership Styles and Their Impact on Health System Performance: A Comparative Analysis

Dr. Mrs. Surya Ramdas¹, Dr. Mahua Bhowmik², Dr. Chitralekha Navneet Kumar³, Dr. Sarita Sthul⁴, Dr. Prashant Bansilal Patel⁵, Ms. Dipali Hodade⁶, Dr. Chandrakant Narayan Shende⁷

¹Director, MKSSS’s Smt. Hiraben Nanavati Institute of Management and Research for Women, Karvenagar, Pune - 52
²Associate Professor, Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering, Dr. D.Y. Patil Institute of Technology, Pimpri, Pune, India, mahua.bhoumik@dyvp.edu.in
³Assistant Professor- Research, Prin. L. N. Welingkar Institute of Management Development and Research Matunga, Mumbai, Maharashtra Chitralekha.kumar@welingkar.org
⁴Librarian, D Y Patil Institute of Master of Computer Applications and Management, Akurdi, Pune, sthul.sarita@gmail.com
⁵Associate Professor, Department of Instrumentation, Dr. D.Y.Patil Institute of Technology, Pimpri, Pune 18, prashant.patel@dyvp.edu.in
⁶Assistant Professor, Department of Engineering and Applied Science, Shree Ramchandra College of Engineering Lonikand Pune
Mail id-dipalihodade2@gmail.com
⁷Assistant Librarian Dr. D.Y. Patil Institute of Technology Pimpri Pune-411018
chandrakant.shende@gmail.com

KEYWORDS
Leadership Styles, Health System Performance, Transformational Leadership, Transactional Leadership, Quality Of Care, Healthcare Management

ABSTRACT
Leadership that works in healthcare systems is very important for shaping the culture of the system, making sure patients get better care, and boosting total performance. The purpose of this study is to compare different types of leadership and how they affect the success of health systems. The traits, pros, and cons of different types of leadership, such as transformational, transactional, dynamic, and servant leadership, are looked at in this study by reviewing all the available literature. It also looks at how these leadership types show up in healthcare settings and how they affect important success measures like patient happiness, staff involvement, long-term financial viability, and quality of care. This paper combines real-life examples and case studies from different healthcare situations to show the best ways for leaders to improve the performance of health systems and deal with current problems in healthcare management.

1. Introduction
Most people agree that good leadership is one of the most important factors in the success of healthcare systems around the world. Healthcare is a complicated and always-changing field, and leaders are very important for helping groups reach their goals, making sure patients get good care, and better patient
results. As a result, it is very important for healthcare managers, lawmakers, and consumers to understand the different types of leadership and how they affect the success of the health system. The point of this study is to look at how different types of leadership affect the success of health systems and compare them. By looking at previous research and real-life examples, this study aims to put light on the traits, pros, and cons of different leadership styles used in healthcare. It also wants to look into how these leadership types work in real life and how they affect important success measures like patient happiness, staff involvement, long-term financial viability, and quality of care [1]. Growing healthcare costs, changing government rules, new technologies, and changing patient populations are just some of the problems that healthcare groups have to deal with in their complicated environment. This means that good leadership is needed to deal with these problems and make the company successful. There is a wide range of leadership styles, and each has its own effects on the culture of the company, employee drive, and success. Many studies have been done on transformational leadership in healthcare to find out how it can improve employee engagement, creativity, and the efficiency of the company. Transformational leadership includes creative leadership, motivation, and freedom. In many hospital settings, transactional [2] leadership is still common, which means that awards and punishments are based on performance. However, there is mixed evidence about how well it works in the long run. With its focus on the leader's personal charm and ability to inspire others, charismatic leadership can also have a big effect on the culture of an organization and its success [3]. Additionally, the idea of servant leadership has become popular in healthcare because it can help create a culture of trust, teamwork, [4] and patient-centered care. This type of leadership puts the well-being of followers first and stresses sensitivity, humility, and making moral decisions. Each of these types of leadership has its own pros and cons, and how well they work may rely on the business, the leader's skills, and cultural factors. By looking at these leadership types side by side, this study hopes to find the best ways to improve the performance of health systems and deal with the difficulties of modern healthcare management [5]. This paper combines real-world data and case studies from different healthcare situations to help with policymaking, leadership, and finding new research paths in the field of healthcare management. In conclusion, good leadership is necessary for organizations to succeed and for healthcare systems to improve the health of their patients. Leaders in healthcare can make smart choices to improve performance, encourage new ideas, and provide high-quality care by knowing the traits and effects of different leadership styles. This paper wants to add to what is already known by giving a thorough look at different types of leadership and how they affect the performance of health systems. This will eventually help the field of healthcare management and leadership grow.

2. Theoretical Framework

Thoughts on leadership ideas help us understand the actions, personality traits, and ways of leading that work well in many situations, such as healthcare. This part talks about some important leadership ideas, like transformational, transactional, dynamic, and servant leadership, and how they relate to the success of health systems. Transformational leadership theory, which was first put forward...
by James MacGregor Burns and later built upon by Bernard Bass, stresses how important it is for leaders to inspire and drive their people to work together to achieve shared goals and make positive changes. Transformational leaders have charm, a clear vision, and a desire to give their people the tools they need to be successful [6]. They do this by encouraging creativity, teamwork, and constant growth. Transformational leaders are very important in healthcare situations because they help bring about organizational change, promote patient-centered care, and boost staff happiness and engagement. Max Weber came up with transactional leadership theory, which was later built upon by Bernard Bass. It is based on the idea that leaders and followers should trade awards and punishments based on performance. Transactional leaders set clear goals, make clear standards for success, offer awards based on meeting goals, and take appropriate actions when expectations are not met. Transactional leadership can work to get people to follow rules and reach short-term goals, but because it focuses on external motivation, it might not be as good at building internal drive and long-term company success in healthcare [8].

Charismatic leadership theory is based on the idea that leaders can inspire and change the behavior of their people by using their charm, vision, and effective communication skills. People often think of charismatic leaders as visionaries, transformative figures, and people who inspire others through their appealing vision and personal charisma. In healthcare, attractive leaders can get people to trust and commit to them, get people to support the organization's goals, and give team members a feeling of purpose and connection. The servant leadership theory, which was first put forward [15], says that a leader should be a friend to others and put the growth and happiness of their following ahead of their own goals or needs. Being a servant leader means having understanding, being humble, and wanting to meet the needs of others. They do this by creating an environment of kindness, teamwork, and making moral decisions. In healthcare, servant leadership is especially useful for putting the patient first, making employees happier and more likely to stay with the company, and encouraging an attitude of kindness and caring among healthcare workers [9].

Each of these ideas about leadership can teach us a lot about the behaviors, traits, and styles that make a good leader in a healthcare setting [10]. Healthcare leaders can be more effective at leadership development, organizational change, and quality improvement efforts if they understand the basic ideas behind these theories and how they affect the success of the health system. We will talk about how these leadership types work in healthcare groups and how they affect key performance factors in the parts that follow.
Table 1: Summary of related work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Finding</th>
<th>Challenges</th>
<th>Pain Areas</th>
<th>Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transformational</td>
<td>Positive impact on staff motivation and performance [11]</td>
<td>Resistance to change</td>
<td>Low morale, Burnout</td>
<td>Hospital management, Team leadership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transactional</td>
<td>Effective in ensuring compliance and meeting targets [12]</td>
<td>Lack of innovation</td>
<td>Inefficient processes, Stagnation</td>
<td>Performance improvement, Compliance management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Servant</td>
<td>Focus on serving the needs of others, improving patient care [13]</td>
<td>Difficulty in balancing servant-leader roles</td>
<td>Patient satisfaction, Quality of care</td>
<td>Patient-centered care, Care quality improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>Promotes participation and decision-making by team members [14]</td>
<td>Time-consuming decision-making process</td>
<td>Lack of clear direction, Confusion</td>
<td>Collaborative decision-making, Team empowerment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autocratic</td>
<td>Quick decision-making, clear direction [16]</td>
<td>Lack of input from team members</td>
<td>Low morale, Lack of innovation</td>
<td>Crisis management, Emergency response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laissez-Faire</td>
<td>Allows freedom for team members to make decisions [17]</td>
<td>Lack of guidance and oversight</td>
<td>Lack of accountability, Inefficiency</td>
<td>Creative projects, Expert-based environments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charismatic</td>
<td>Inspires and motivates through personal charisma [19]</td>
<td>Dependency on leader's personality</td>
<td>Over-reliance on leader, Lack of vision</td>
<td>Crisis management, Organizational change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coaching</td>
<td>Focus on individual development and performance improvement [18]</td>
<td>Time-consuming mentoring process</td>
<td>Skills gap, Lack of immediate results</td>
<td>Skill development, Performance management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureaucratic</td>
<td>Emphasis on following rules and procedures [20]</td>
<td>Rigidity in adapting to changing circumstances</td>
<td>Slow decision-making, Inflexibility</td>
<td>Compliance management, Regulation adherence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptive</td>
<td>Ability to adjust leadership style based on circumstances</td>
<td>Difficulty in recognizing need for change</td>
<td>Resistance to change, Lack of flexibility</td>
<td>Change management, Crisis response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic</td>
<td>Focus on long-term goals and vision</td>
<td>Difficulty in balancing short-term needs</td>
<td>Lack of short-term gains, Uncertainty</td>
<td>Visionary leadership, Organizational alignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Situational</td>
<td>Adjusting leadership style based on situational demands [21]</td>
<td>Complexity in assessing situations</td>
<td>Inconsistent leadership approach, Confusion</td>
<td>Versatile leadership approach, Adaptive management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. **Methodology**

1. **Literature Review Methodology:**

   A systematic literature review was conducted to identify relevant studies examining leadership styles in healthcare settings and their effects on health system performance.

   - Databases such as PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar were searched using keywords related to leadership styles, health system performance, and healthcare management.
   - Inclusion criteria for studies encompassed peer-reviewed articles, dissertations, and grey literature published in English from the past two decades (with specific dates).
   - Studies were selected based on their relevance to the research topic, methodology quality, and contribution to understanding the relationships between leadership styles and health system performance.

2. **Selection Criteria for Studies:**

   One or more leadership types (such as transformational, transactional, charming, and servant) were looked at in the review, along with their effects on health system success measures like patient happiness, staff involvement, financial sustainability, and quality of care. There were both qualitative and quantitative studies looked at. These included thorough reviews, case studies, actual research, and theory theories. There were studies from hospitals, clinics, long-term care facilities, and public health organizations, among other healthcare places, to get a wide range of points of view and situations.

3. **Data Analysis Approach:**

   From some studies, information was taken out about the author(s), the year the study was published, its methodology, its sample size, the leadership style(s) it looked at, its main findings, and what those results mean for the performance of the health system. The method of thematic analysis was used to find similar themes, patterns, and trends in the books. This made it possible to put together the results and come up with new ideas. The results were put together by leadership style, and each style was
looked at in terms of its traits, effects on health system success, and factors that affect how well it works. There was a comparative study done to find out the pros and cons of each leadership style and how well they would work in different hospital settings. Using a strict method based on systematic review principles, this study tried to give a full picture of what is known about leadership types and how they affect the performance of health systems. This study combined and analyzed previous research to find important trends, gaps, and areas for future research. The goal was to make theory and practice in healthcare management and leadership better.

4. Leadership Styles in Healthcare

1. Transformational Leadership:
   - Characteristics: Transformational leaders inspire and motivate followers by articulating a compelling vision, fostering innovation, and empowering individuals to reach their full potential. They exhibit charisma, vision, intellectual stimulation, individualized consideration, and inspirational motivation.
   - Application in Healthcare: Transformational leadership is associated with improved staff satisfaction, engagement, and commitment in healthcare settings. Leaders who employ transformational approaches encourage a culture of continuous learning, collaboration, and patient-centered care.
   - Effects on Health System Performance: Research indicates a positive correlation between transformational leadership and key performance indicators such as patient satisfaction, quality of care, and organizational effectiveness. Transformational leaders are instrumental in driving organizational change, improving clinical outcomes, and enhancing overall health system performance.

2. Transactional Leadership:
   - Characteristics: Transactional leaders focus on clarifying role expectations, setting performance goals, and providing rewards or sanctions based on individual or team performance. They emphasize contingent rewards, active management-by-exception, and passive management-by-exception.
   - Application in Healthcare: Transactional leadership is prevalent in many healthcare organizations, particularly in settings where clear expectations and accountability are essential for ensuring compliance with regulatory standards and achieving operational efficiency.
   - Effects on Health System Performance: While transactional leadership can facilitate task completion and goal attainment in healthcare settings, its effects on long-term performance outcomes such as staff morale, innovation, and patient satisfaction may be limited. Transactional approaches may be more effective in contexts where adherence to established protocols and procedures is paramount.

3. Charismatic Leadership:
   - Characteristics: Charismatic leaders possess a compelling vision, strong communication skills, and the ability to inspire and mobilize followers through their personal magnetism and passion. They often exhibit confidence,
enthusiasm, and a persuasive communication style.

- Application in Healthcare: Charismatic leadership can be particularly effective in times of organizational change, crisis, or uncertainty, as charismatic leaders can rally support, instill hope, and galvanize action among staff members.

- Effects on Health System Performance: Research suggests that charismatic leadership can have both positive and negative effects on health system performance. While charismatic leaders may inspire loyalty and commitment among followers, excessive reliance on charismatic leaders may lead to dependency, burnout, and organizational instability.

4. Servant Leadership:

- Characteristics: Servant leaders prioritize the well-being and development of their followers, exhibiting traits such as empathy, humility, and a commitment to serving others. They empower employees, foster a culture of trust and collaboration, and promote the common good.

- Application in Healthcare: Servant leadership is increasingly recognized as a valuable approach in healthcare, as it aligns with the values of patient-centered care, compassion, and ethical decision-making. Servant leaders prioritize the needs of patients and staff, creating environments conducive to healing, growth, and excellence.

- Effects on Health System Performance: Studies have shown that servant leadership is associated with higher levels of employee satisfaction, engagement, and organizational commitment in healthcare settings. Servant leaders promote a culture of accountability, teamwork, and continuous improvement, leading to improved patient outcomes and overall health system performance.

The each of leadership style offers unique advantages and challenges in the healthcare context, and their effectiveness may vary depending on organizational culture, leadership competencies, and contextual factors. By understanding the characteristics and effects of different leadership styles, healthcare leaders can adopt more informed approaches to leadership development, organizational change, and performance improvement initiatives, ultimately enhancing health system performance and patient care outcomes.

5. Impact on Health System Performance

1. Patient Satisfaction:

- Transformational Leadership: Transformational leaders who inspire and empower their teams often contribute to a positive patient experience. By fostering a culture of patient-centered care and promoting staff engagement, transformational leaders can enhance patient satisfaction levels.
• Transactional Leadership: While transactional leaders may ensure compliance with established standards and protocols, their focus on task completion and goal attainment may not always translate to improved patient satisfaction. However, effective communication and clear expectations can positively impact patient experiences.

• Charismatic Leadership: Charismatic leaders have the potential to instill confidence and trust among patients through their compelling vision and communication skills. However, excessive reliance on charismatic leaders may overshadow other aspects of patient care, leading to mixed outcomes.

• Servant Leadership: Servant leaders prioritize the needs of patients and staff, creating environments conducive to high-quality care and positive patient experiences. By fostering a culture of empathy, collaboration, and ethical decision-making, servant leaders contribute to improved patient satisfaction levels.

2. Staff Engagement and Retention:

• Transformational Leadership: Transformational leaders empower and develop their staff, fostering a sense of ownership and commitment. This leads to higher levels of staff engagement, job satisfaction, and retention within healthcare organizations.

• Transactional Leadership: While transactional leaders may provide rewards and recognition for achieving performance goals, their approach may not necessarily cultivate a supportive and empowering work environment conducive to staff engagement and retention.

• Charismatic Leadership: Charismatic leaders can inspire and motivate staff through their vision and passion, leading to increased engagement and morale. However, sustainability may be a concern if charismatic leaders leave or if there is over-reliance on their personal charisma.

• Servant Leadership: Servant leaders prioritize the well-being and professional development of their staff, creating a supportive and inclusive workplace culture. This contributes to higher levels of staff satisfaction, engagement, and retention in healthcare settings.

3. Financial Sustainability:

• Transformational Leadership: Transformational leaders focus on strategic planning, innovation, and resource optimization, which can lead to improved financial performance and long-
term sustainability for healthcare organizations.

- **Transactional Leadership:** Transactional leaders may effectively manage operational efficiency and cost control measures in healthcare settings, contributing to short-term financial stability. However, their approach may lack the innovation and strategic vision needed for long-term sustainability.

- **Charismatic Leadership:** Charismatic leaders may inspire confidence and support from stakeholders, leading to increased financial investments and donor contributions. However, financial sustainability may be contingent on the leader's continued presence and ability to deliver on promises.

- **Servant Leadership:** Servant leaders prioritize organizational values and mission-driven objectives, which can resonate with donors, investors, and stakeholders. By fostering trust and transparency, servant leaders contribute to financial sustainability and stakeholder engagement in healthcare organizations.

4. **Quality of Care and Patient Outcomes:**

- **Transformational Leadership:** Transformational leaders promote a culture of continuous improvement, innovation, and accountability, leading to enhanced clinical outcomes and quality of care for patients.

- **Transactional Leadership:** Transactional leaders may ensure adherence to clinical protocols and standards, which can contribute to consistent quality of care. However, their focus on task completion may overlook opportunities for innovation and patient-centered approaches.

- **Charismatic Leadership:** Charismatic leaders can inspire confidence and motivation among healthcare providers, leading to improved teamwork, communication, and patient outcomes. However, sustainability may be a concern if there is over-reliance on the leader's personality rather than systemic improvements.

- **Servant Leadership:** Servant leaders prioritize patient-centered care and ethical decision-making, creating environments conducive to safe, compassionate, and high-quality care. By empowering healthcare providers and fostering a culture of excellence, servant leaders contribute to positive patient outcomes and overall quality of care.

The leadership styles have a significant impact on health system performance, influencing key indicators such as patient satisfaction, staff engagement, financial sustainability, and quality of care. While each leadership style offers unique strengths and challenges, transformational and servant leadership styles are often associated with the most positive outcomes in healthcare settings. By understanding the effects of different leadership approaches, healthcare leaders can adopt strategies to optimize organizational performance and improve patient care outcomes.
6. Comparative Analysis of Leadership Styles:

1. Transformational Leadership vs. Transactional Leadership:
   - Strengths: Transformational leadership fosters innovation, empowerment, and a shared vision among team members, leading to higher levels of engagement and commitment. Transactional leadership ensures clarity of expectations, accountability, and goal attainment, which can contribute to short-term performance outcomes.
   - Weaknesses: Transformational leadership may lack specificity in task delegation and performance monitoring, leading to challenges in implementation and measurement. Transactional leadership may prioritize compliance over creativity and intrinsic motivation, limiting long-term organizational effectiveness.
   - Effectiveness: Transformational leadership is often more effective in fostering a culture of continuous improvement, innovation, and employee development, leading to sustainable performance outcomes in healthcare organizations.

Table 2: Comparison of Transformational Leadership vs. Transactional Leadership

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Transformational Leadership</th>
<th>Transactional Leadership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>Inspires and motivates followers towards a common vision</td>
<td>Ensures tasks are completed efficiently and effectively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weakness</td>
<td>May lack focus on day-to-day operations and details</td>
<td>May result in a lack of innovation and creativity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Charismatic Leadership vs. Servant Leadership:
   - Strengths: Charismatic leadership inspires confidence, enthusiasm, and commitment among followers, particularly in times of change or crisis. Servant leadership prioritizes the well-being and growth of individuals, fostering trust, collaboration, and ethical decision-making.
   - Weaknesses: Charismatic leadership may rely too heavily on the leader’s personality and charisma, leading to challenges in sustaining momentum and organizational stability. Servant leadership may face challenges in assertiveness and decision-making, particularly in high-pressure or hierarchical environments.
   - Effectiveness: While charismatic leadership can be impactful in rallying support and mobilizing action, its
sustainability may be limited without complementary systems and structures. Servant leadership, with its focus on empathy, empowerment, and values-driven decision-making, often leads to more enduring positive outcomes in healthcare settings.

3. Contextual Factors Influencing Leadership Effectiveness:

- Organizational Culture: The alignment between leadership styles and organizational values, norms, and priorities significantly influences leadership effectiveness. Transformational and servant leadership styles are often more compatible with cultures emphasizing collaboration, innovation, and patient-centered care.

- Leadership Competencies: The skills, experience, and personal qualities of leaders play a crucial role in determining the effectiveness of different leadership styles. Effective leadership development programs can enhance leaders' abilities to adapt their styles to meet the evolving needs of healthcare organizations.

- External Environment: External factors such as regulatory requirements, funding constraints, and market dynamics can shape leadership approaches and priorities within healthcare organizations. Adaptive leadership strategies are essential for navigating external challenges and driving organizational resilience and sustainability.

By conducting a comparative analysis of leadership styles and considering contextual factors influencing leadership effectiveness, healthcare leaders can make informed decisions about selecting and implementing appropriate leadership approaches to optimize health system performance and improve patient outcomes. This analysis provides insights into the strengths, weaknesses, and applicability of different leadership styles in healthcare settings, facilitating more effective leadership development, organizational change, and performance improvement initiatives.

7. Challenges and Considerations

1. Cultural Factors:
Healthcare organizations often have unique cultures shaped by factors such as organizational history, values, and stakeholder expectations. Introducing new leadership styles may face resistance or require cultural adaptation to align with existing norms and practices. Cultural diversity among healthcare staff and patients adds another layer of complexity, necessitating culturally sensitive leadership approaches that foster inclusion, respect, and understanding.

2. Organizational Structure and Size:
The size and structure of healthcare organizations can impact the effectiveness of leadership styles. Larger organizations may require more formalized structures and processes, while smaller organizations may benefit from more flexible and adaptive leadership approaches. Hierarchical structures prevalent in many healthcare systems may pose challenges to collaborative and participative leadership styles, necessitating strategies to empower frontline staff and promote shared decision-making.

3. External Environment and Regulatory Frameworks:
Healthcare leaders have to deal with a complicated outside world that includes rules and regulations, limited funds, and changing
healthcare policies. Leadership styles need to be in line with government rules and efforts to improve quality, while also encouraging new ideas and flexibility within the company. Economic factors, like how healthcare is financed and how payments are made, can affect what leaders prioritize and how resources are allocated. A good boss must be able to balance being good with money and committing to providing high-quality, patient-centered care.

4. Leadership Succession and Sustainability:

Succession planning is critical for ensuring continuity and sustainability in healthcare leadership. Overreliance on individual leaders, particularly charismatic or transformational figures, may pose risks to organizational stability and long-term performance. Leadership development programs and mentorship initiatives can cultivate a pipeline of future leaders and promote a culture of shared leadership, collaboration, and continuous learning.

5. Resistance to Change:

Implementing new leadership styles or organizational changes may encounter resistance from stakeholders accustomed to existing practices or hierarchies. Effective change management strategies, including communication, stakeholder engagement, and empowerment, are essential for overcoming resistance and fostering a culture of innovation and continuous improvement. Addressing these challenges and considerations requires a nuanced understanding of the organizational context, leadership competencies, and stakeholder dynamics within healthcare organizations. By recognizing and proactively addressing potential barriers to implementing different leadership styles, healthcare leaders can foster a culture of adaptive leadership, resilience, and excellence, ultimately enhancing health system performance and improving patient outcomes.

8. Conclusion

Achieving company success and improving health system performance in today's complex healthcare environment requires strong leadership. Throughout this paper, we've looked at how transformational, transactional, attractive, and servant leadership affect key performance factors in healthcare companies. Organizational culture, staff involvement, and gains in patient happiness, quality of care, and financial survival are all greatly affected by the leadership style. It is transformational leadership's focus on freedom and creative thinking that has become very effective at encouraging innovation, teamwork, and patient-centered care in healthcare situations. Furthermore, servant leadership, which stresses kindness, humility, and making moral choices, has shown promise in building settings that support high-quality care, happy employees, and strong organizations. Nonetheless, there is no one-size-fits-all solution for good leadership in healthcare. Cultural, structural, and external factors of a company, along with its surroundings, greatly affect the fit and success of various leadership styles. Leadership in healthcare needs to be flexible so that it can meet the specific needs and problems of its patients, partners, and groups. This essay emphasizes how important leadership is for better patient results in healthcare and driving company success. Hospital leaders can create a leadership culture that encourages creativity, teamwork, and success by knowing the traits, pros, and cons of various leadership styles. Long-term success in the increasingly complicated and changing healthcare
environment can be achieved by healthcare companies that continuously improve their leadership, people management, and strategy planning.
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