

Serological study of Crimean – Congo haemorrhagic fever in Sheep in Thi – Qar province.

Haneen H. T¹, Hekmet k. Ateya², Nabeel M. Abed³

¹University of AL-SHATRAH, College of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Microbiolog. Email: Hnyhn.thedhan@utq.edu.iq

²University of AL-SHATRAH, College of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Microbiolog. Email: Hekmatkadhum@shu.edu.iq

³University of AL-SHATRAH, College of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Microbiolog. Email: nabeel.mahdi.abed@shu.edu.iq

KEYWORDS ABSTRACT

Crimean,
Province Congo,

Crimean Congo hemorrhagic fever virus (CCHFV) causes a lethal tick – borne zoonotic disease with severe clinical manifestation in humans but does not produce symptomatic disease in wild or domestic animals. It is endemic in a large region of the world. One of the main indicators that the disease is endemic in a region is the presence of antibodies specific to CCHFV in animal populations. The factors contributing to differential outcomes of infection between species are not understood. Serological studies have shown sheep are important to the survival of CCHFV in nature. Nevertheless, need for more studies on this subject in Iraq. Blood samples were taken from 200 sheep of different sex, variable age and physiological status. Only 100 samples used for physiological tests while 186 used for Microbiological test (ELISA) test. The present study was appeared a high level about (144)78.53% of positive carrier sheep while negative samples (42)21.46% in Thi – Qar province which include different regions such as Al-eakihah , Al- rifai and Al- garma region which recorded about 92.85% , 90% and 90 % respectively comparative with another region of thi qar province that appear low level of infected such as Al-fadhliya , Al-tar and Al- manar which recorded about 75%, 50% and 60.71% respectively. The current study focused on and attempted to connect the different physiological states, sex and age with infected animals. The male sex appears ahigh level of infected about 83.92% comparative with female was appeared low level of infected by CCHF virus about 74.61%, while recorded high infected in non – pregnant about 75% comparative with pregnant about 73,80 . Also, the present study was showed ahigh level of infected in age from 5 to nine years about 82.4% comparative with another age ranges which appeared about 71.11, 78.50 %.

1. Introduction

Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus is an enveloped, segmented, and negative sense single stranded RNA virus in the Orthonairovirus genus in the Nairoviridae family within the orderBunyavirales (Lombe et al.2021; Sana et al.2022). The genus also includes Dugbe fever virus and Nairobi sheep disease virus, both of which are associated with human diseases (Honig et al. 2004; Lindeborg et al. 2012 ; Spengler et al. 2016) . Nairobi sheep disease virus is an orthonairovirus of veterinary importance causing a severe hemorrhagic and abortive disease in sheep and goats (Walker et al. 2016). Crimean congo hemorrhagic fever (CCHF) is an important tick orne zoonotic disease with a wide geographic distribution that affects people infect virus in contact with infected animals and ticks (Shayan et al., 2015). This disease is endemic in Africa, asia, the middle east, and southern Europe (Al-Abri et al., 2017 ; Flusin et al., 2010; Fillatre et al 2019 ; Messina et al. 2015).CCHF is highly contagious and has fatality rate ranging between 10% and 50% (Flusin et al., 2010), some researches recorded fatal rate (3-80%) with acute and severe hemorrhagic manifestations, but the initial symptoms are generally nonspecific (fever, fatigue, myalgia, headache, diarrhea, etc.) followed by progressive haemorrhage, shock and multiorgan failure in severe cases(Ergonul, 2006 ; Mazzola and Kelly, 2019; Shepherd et al., 1989).Fatal outcome is correlated to the increased viral load and dissemination, intravascular coagulopathy, and multi-organ failure (Zivcec, 2016). CCHFV specific vaccines and approved therapies are still unavailable, and supportive care remains the main stay of treatment (Messina et al., 2015).

2. Material and methods

Two hundred sheep from different regions of Thi – Qar province were chosen for this study. The animals were collected from regions with hemorrhagic infection. Throughout the study period, which

start from 10/ 2023 to 2/ 2024. The animals were clinically healthy, aged between less than one to nine years. The study was chosen sheep in different sex (male and female) and physiological status (pregnant and non – pregnant). Blood samples were drawn from the jugular vein at using two types of tube non- heparinized tube for immunology and. The serum was separated by centrifugation (3000rpm for 15 minute) and stored at -20 C until analysis. ELISA Linked (biotich).

3. Results and Discussion

Table 1: Table (4-1): Distribution of all studied sheep (positive and negative) according to region:

Sample Region	Total		Positive		Negative		P.Value
	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Suq ash shuyukh	14	7.52	12	85.71	2	14.28	0.000
Al-Fadhliyya	16	8.6	12	75	4	25	0.000
AL-Tar	10	5.37	5	50	5	50	1.000
AL-Batha	34	18.27	25	73.52	9	26.47	0.000
AL-Manar	28	15.05	17	60.71	11	39.28	0.032
AL-Shatrah	22	11.82	18	81.81	4	18.18	0.000
AL-Eakikah	14	7.52	13	92.85	1	7.14	0.000
Livestock al-nasiriyah	28	15.05	24	85.71	4	14.28	0.000
AL-Garma	10	5.37	9	90	1	10	0.000
AL-Rifai	10	5.37	9	90	1	10	0.000
Total	186	100	144	78.53	42	21.46	
P.Value	0.0001**		0.0001**		0.0001**		
**(p≤0.01).							

Table 1 in the present study was appeared a high level about (144)78.53% of positive carrier sheep while negative samples (42)21.46% in Thi – Qar province which include different regions such as Al-eakikah , Al- rifai and Al- garma region which recorded about 92.85% , 90% and 90 % respectively comparative with another region of thi qar province that appear low level of infected such as Al-fadhliya , Al-tar and Al- manar which recorded about 75%, 50% and 60.71% respectively table1.

Table (4-2): Distribution of all studied sheep (positive and negative) according to sex

<div>Sample Sex</div>	Total		Positive		Negative		P.Value
	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Female	130	69.89	97	74.61	33	25.38	0.000
Male	56	30.10	47	83.92	9	16.07	0.000
Total	186	100	144	79.26	42	20.72	0.000
P. Value	0.0001*8		0.0007**		0.0041**		
**(p≤0.01).							

Table 2: The male sex appears ahigh level of infected about 83.92% comparative with female was appeared low level of infected by CCHF virus about 74.61%.

Table 4-3: Distribution of all studied samples according to pregnant and non-pregnant ewe:

<div>Sample Female</div>	Total		Positive		Negative		P.Value
	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Pregnant	42	32.30	31	73.80	11	26.19	0.000
Non pregnant	88	67.69	66	75	22	25	0.000
Total	130	100	97	74.4	33	25.59	
P.Value	0.0001**		0.0091**		0.068 NS		
**(p<0.01).							

Table 3 in the current study was showed high significant differences in positive non pregnant ewe comparative with positive pregnant ewe at ($P \leq 0.01$).

Table 4-4: Distribution of all studied samples according to age.

Sample Age	Total		Positive		Negative		P.Value
	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Less than 1 years	45	24.19	32	71.11	13	28.88	
1-5 years	107	57.52	84	78.50	23	21.49	
5-9 years	34	18.27	28	82.35	6	17.64	
Total	186	100	144	77.32	42	22.67	
P.Value	0.0001**		0.0001**		0.0097**		
**(p≤0.01).							

The statical analysis in table 4 showed ahigh level of infected in age from 5 to nine years about 82.35% comparative with another age ranges which appeared about 71.11, 78.50 %

Discussions

Crimean- Congo hemorhagic fever virus causes a tick-bore viral disease with a geographical distribution in certain endemic areas such as eastern and southern Europe, Asia, the Middle – East, and Africa (Spengler et al., 2015).it possesses a high mortality rate (up to 40%), and there is no licensed vaccine available to combat the disease (Mertens., et al., 2013). Furthermore, virus behavior and replication characteristics are difficult to study due to the requirement of high containment laboratories. The virus has a high potential for emergence and introduction in new areas and remains a high health risk worldwide (Elliott, 2014; Zivcec et al., 2015)

The present study was appeared a high level about (144)78.53% of positive carrier sheep while negative samples (42)21.46% in Thi – Qar province which include different regions such as Al-eakihah , Al-rifai and Al- garma region which recorded about 92.85% , 90% and 90 % respectively comparative with another region of thi qar province that appear low level of infected such as Al-fadhliya , Al-tar and Al- manar which recorded about 75%, 50% and 60.71% respectively table1. Furthermore in recent related study conducted in mousl, the CCHF seroprevalence rate of was recorded 14% using indirect IgG ELISA and comprised 19.16% of sheep and 6.25% of goats (Altaliby et al., 2021). this results disagreement with (Dakhil,2024) was recorded 52.9% and 19% in sheep of The- Qar and Basrah province respectively while, in goat was recorded 20.8% and 10.3% in Thi-qar and Basrah province respectively. Albayrak et al., (2012) reported that anti-CCHF rate in sheep and goats were 85% and 66% respectively The current study focused on and attempted to connect the physiological states, sex and age with infected animals. The male sex appears ahigh level of infected about 83.92% comparative with female was appeared low level of infected by CCHF virus about 74.61% table 2, the high level of infected in male may be due to small number of males in this study or reasons related to the virus receptors in males. This result agreement with other research (Dakhil,2024) which recorded ahigh level of infected female 48.4% and 20.9% comparative with male which recorded about 21.9% and 8.8% in thi- qar and basrah province respectively. The current study was showed significant differences at between positive non pregnant ewe about 75% and positive pregnant ewe about 73.80 at ($P \leq 0.01$) while the present study was appeared no significant differences between negative specimens in pregnant and non -pregnant about 26.19 and 25 respectively at ($P \leq 0.01$) in table 3Also, the present study was showed ahigh level of infected in age from 5 to nine years about 82.35% comparative with another age ranges which appeared about 71.11, 78.50 and 77.32%. the high level of infected in this age may be because of increase of animal number at this age stages table 4. The result of this study showed disagreement with (Dakhil, 2024) which recorded 62.2% and 37.8% in thi-qar and basrah province respectively at age 4-5 years while, recorded31.3% and 44.5% in thi qar and basrah province respectively at age 3months to 1 years in sheep.

Reference

- [1] Dhakil.A.A. (2024). Sero-epidemiological evaluation with pro-inflammation response to Crimean – Congo hemorrhagic fever (CCHF) in small ruminants in southern Iraq. Master Thesis , collage of veterinary mesicine. Barah university.
- [2] Al-Abriss,Abaidani IA, Fazlalipour M,Mostafavi,Leblebicioglu H,pshenichnaya N, et al. (2017). Current status of Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever in the World Health Organization Eastern Mediterranean Region: issues,challenges,and future directions. *Int J Infect Dis*;58;82-9
- [3] Flusin O, Iseni F, Rodrigues R, Paranhos-Baccala G, Crance JM, Marianneau P, et al. (2010). Crimean-congo hemorrhagic fever; basics for general practitioners. *.Med(Mars)*;70(5-6);429-38.
- [4] Fillatre P, Revest M, Tattevin P. (2019). Crimean –Congo hemorrhagic fever: An update. *Medicine et Maladies Infectieuses* 49, 574-585.
- [5] Shepherd AJ, Swanepoel R, Cornel AJ, Mathee O.(1989) Experimental studies on the replication and transmission of Crimean congo hemorrhagic fever virus in some African tick species. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 40, 326-331.
- [6] Spengler JR, Bergeron E, Rollin PE. (2016). Seroepidemiolical studies of Crimean Congo hemorrhagic fever virus in domestic and wild animals. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 10, e0004210.
- [7] Elliott R.M. (2014). Orthobunyaviruses: recent genetic and structural insights. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 12:673.
- [8] Spengler J. R., Patel J. R., Chakrabarti A. K., Zivcec M., Garcia-Sastre A., Spirpolou C. F., Bergeron E.(2015) RIG-I Mediates an Antiviral response to Crimean – Congo Hemorrhagic fever virus. *J. Virol.*89:10219-10229.
- [9] Zivcec M., Metcalfe M. G., Albarino C.G., Guerrero L.W., Pergan S.D., Spiropoulou C.F., Bergeron E. (2015). Assessment of inhibitors of pathogenic Crimean – Congo hemorrhagic fever virus using virus-like particles. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis* 9:e0004259. *Journal.pntd*.
- [10] Honing JE, Osborne JC, Nichol ST. (2004). The high genetic variation of viruses pf the genus Nairovirus reflects the diversity of their predominant tick hosts. *Virology* 318, 10-16.
- [11] Lindeborg M, Barboutis C, Ehrenborg C, Fransson T, Jaenson TG, Lindgren PE, Lundkvist A, Nystrom F, Salaneck E, Waldenstrom J, Olsen B.(2012). Migratory birds, ticks, and Crimean – congo hemorrhagic fever virus. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 18, 2095-2097.
- [12] Walker PJ, Widen SG, Wood TG, Guzman H, Tesh RB, Vasilakis N. (2016). A Global genomic characterization of nairoviruses identifies nine discrete genogroups with distinctive structural characteristics and host vector associations. *The American journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 94, 1107-1122.
- [13] Shayan S, Bokaeian M, Shahrivar MR, Chinikar S. (2015). Crimean-Congo Hemorrhagic Fever. *Lab Med*;46(3):180-9.
- [14] Messina JP, Pigott DM, Golding N, Duda KA, Brownstein JS, Weiss DJ, Gibson H, Robinson TP, Gibert M, William Wint G. (2015). The global distribution of Crimean Congo haemorrhage fever. *Transactions of the Royal Society of tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 109, 503-513.
- [15] Ergonul, O., Tuncbilek, S. Baykam, N. Celilbas, A. and Dokuzoguz, b. (2006). Evaluation of serum levels of IL-6, IL-10, and TNF-alpha in patients with Crimean – Congo hemorrhagic fever. *J Infect Dis*, 193: 941-944.
- [16] Mazzola LT, Kelly-Cirino C.(2019). Diagnostic tests for Crimean Congo haemorrhagic fever: a widespread tickborne disease. *BMJ Global Health* 4,e001114.
- [17] Zivcec M, Scholte FE, Spiropoulou CF, Spengler JR, Bergeron E. (2016). Molecular insights into Crimean Congo hemorrhagic fever viruses 8, 106.

- [18] Messina JP, Pigott DM, Golding N, Duda KA, Brownstein JS, Weiss DJ, Gibson H, Robinson TP, Gibert M, William Wint G. (2015). The global distribution of Crimean Congo haemorrhage fever. Transactions of the Royal Society of tropical Medicine and Hygiene 109, 503-513.
- [19] Mertens M., Schmidt K., Ozkul A., Groschup M.H.(2013). The impact of Crimean- Congo hemorrhagic fever virus on public health. Antivir.Res. 98:248-260.