

Embracing Complexity: A Systematic Review of Paradoxical Leadership in Contemporary Organizations

Poondy Rajan Y* Dr. Aiswarya B ** Dr. Kishore Kunal***

*Research Scholar, Loyola Institute of Business Administration (LIBA), Chennai

** Professor, Loyola Institute of Business Administration (LIBA), Chennai

***Professor, Loyola Institute of Business Administration (LIBA), Chennai

ABSTRACT

Paradoxical leadership, balancing conflicting demands, is crucial in evolving organizations. Essential skills include ambidexterity, integrative thinking, emotional intelligence, and resilience. Managers juggle conflicting priorities, innovative culture cultivation, and goal balance. Successful paradoxical leadership implementation enhances engagement, innovation, and performance.

The study stresses fostering a supportive culture and robust training investment to hone leaders comfortable with paradoxes. Insights underscore paradoxical leadership's potential to boost effectiveness in complex business environments.

Introduction

In the face of increasing complexity and uncertainty, organizations grapple with myriad challenges necessitating adaptive and flexible leadership approaches (Bolden, Witzel, & Linacre, 2016). The significance of paradoxical leadership has been acknowledged by a growing body of literature. Paradoxical leadership embraces and manages tensions and contradictions rather than striving to resolve or avoid them (Lewis, Andriopoulos, & Smith, 2014; Smith et al., 2012). It emerges as particularly effective in addressing organizational issues stemming from conflicting goals, values, and demands (Chen et al., 2018; Cunha et al., 2019). The aim of the study is to coalesce current understanding on paradoxical leadership, encompassing aspects such as theoretical foundations, antecedents, outcomes, and practical implications.

The surge in research on paradoxical leadership has arisen from the realization that traditional leadership models may not suffice in addressing the complexity and dynamism inherent in contemporary organizations (Bolden et al., 2016). Consequently, scholars have investigated various leadership styles that adeptly navigate paradoxes and contradictions, such as transformational leadership (Chen et al., 2018), ambidextrous leadership (Cunha et al., 2019), and servant leadership (Sousa & Van Dierendonck, 2017). Additionally, the concept of paradoxical leadership extends to various contexts, including hybrid organizations (Al Taji & Bengo, 2019), education (Cook-Sather, 2006; Joo & Kim, 2022), and crisis management (Förster et al., 2022).

Associations between paradoxical leadership and a range of positive organizational outcomes, such as enhanced innovation (Shao et al., 2019), employee engagement (Sparr et al., 2022), and performance (Klonek et al., 2021) have been documented. Nevertheless, the literature acknowledges potential pitfalls and constraints of paradoxical leadership, underscoring the

need for further investigation into its underpinning mechanisms and boundary conditions (Boemelburg et al., 2023; Huertas-Valdivia et al., 2019). Recent studies have also stressed the role of contextual factors in shaping the effectiveness of paradoxical leadership, such as cultural influences (Gunasekara et al., 2022) and the impact of significant global events like the COVID-19 pandemic (Simpson et al., 2023).

By offering a comprehensive synthesis of the literature on paradoxical leadership, the intention of the review is to contribute to the ongoing academic discussion surrounding the nature, effectiveness, and implications of this leadership style. Analysis of selected articles will illuminate key theoretical and empirical advances in the field and pave the way for potential avenues for future research.

Methodology

The systematic literature review at hand undertakes a meticulous methodological process to encapsulate the prevailing understanding of paradoxical leadership. Conformity to the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines (Moher et al., 2009) ensures a structured approach during the execution of this systematic review.

The search strategy involved accessing the Scopus database to pinpoint articles of relevance to paradoxical leadership within the business and management domain. The search operation used "paradoxical" AND "leadership" as keywords within the subject area "Business and Management." In order to ensure ease of access to the chosen articles, the search was restricted to "open access" articles exclusively.

The preliminary search yielded 49 documents. Each document underwent screening based on its title and abstract to gauge its relevance to paradoxical leadership. Studies concentrating on different leadership styles or not directly addressing paradoxical leadership were eliminated. Additionally, non-empirical works, such as book reviews or editorial pieces, were also removed during the selection process.

Upon selection, the articles underwent analysis for data extraction, revealing information on their key discoveries, theoretical foundations, antecedents, outcomes, and practical implications associated with paradoxical leadership. The data extraction procedure encompassed categorizing the articles and identifying common threads and patterns across the studies. The aim was also to underscore potential lacunae and arenas for future research in paradoxical leadership.

The Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) checklist (CASP, 2018) was utilized to assess the quality of the chosen articles, evaluating studies based on their validity, results, and applicability. This allowed for the identification of any methodological limitations or biases in the included studies, ensuring the reliability and strength of the review's findings.

By adhering to such a structured methodology, the systematic literature review provides an exhaustive and trustworthy overview of the current understanding of paradoxical leadership, along with its theoretical underpinnings, antecedents, outcomes, and practical implications. The conclusions drawn from the review add to the ongoing academic discussion on paradoxical leadership and offer meaningful insights for practitioners and policymakers.

Paradoxical Leadership: Concept and Context

Paradoxical leadership, a multifaceted and intricate concept, has surfaced as a focal point of research within organizational studies. The essence of paradoxical leadership lies in the

leader's capacity to navigate, handle, and welcome contradictory demands, tensions, and expectations (Smith & Turner, 2015). The ensuing section intends to provide insight into the concept and the environment in which paradoxical leadership operates.

Defining Paradoxical Leadership

Paradoxical leadership is an approach where leaders display proficiency in managing and balancing conflicting demands and expectations within an organization. The root of the concept lies in the wider notion of paradox, referring to a situation or phenomenon embodying contradictory or opposing elements that are concurrently present and interlinked (Lewis, 2000). Within the context of leadership, paradoxes often originate from various factors, such as organizational complexity, environmental uncertainty, and the requirement to address diverse stakeholder interests (Waldman & Bowen, 2016).

Leaders espousing the paradoxical leadership style demonstrate the capability to indulge in seemingly contrasting behaviors, such as being both resolute and receptive to input, or both supportive and challenging (Smith & Turner, 2015). Paradoxical leaders possess a knack for acknowledging, welcoming, and reconciling the inherent contradictions and tensions characterizing the organizational landscape. Moreover, paradoxical leaders adeptly navigate paradoxes to achieve desired outcomes (Zhang et al., 2015).

The Context of Paradoxical Leadership

The current business environment, defined by rapid change, complexity, and uncertainty, underscores the need for leaders to hone paradoxical capabilities. Elements such as globalization, technological advancements, and evolving workforce demographics pose new challenges to organizations and elevate the importance of paradoxical leadership (Waldman & Bowen, 2016).

In the above scenario, paradoxical leaders are tasked with balancing a range of conflicting demands, such as the necessity to innovate while preserving stability, or the requirement to empower employees while maintaining control (Smith & Turner, 2015). Moreover, paradoxical leaders must steer through the intricacies of diverse stakeholder interests and expectations, such as the tension between short-term profitability and long-term sustainability, or the competing needs of various organizational members (Lewis, 2000).

Paradoxical leadership emerges as particularly relevant in the realm of change management, where leaders often balance the need for stability and continuity with the necessity to adapt and evolve (Waldman & Bowen, 2016). Furthermore, paradoxical leaders need to reconcile individual autonomy with the requirement for collective cohesion and alignment, and the demand for creativity and innovation with the need for efficiency and control (Zhang et al., 2015).

As a significant concept in modern organizational studies, paradoxical leadership reflects the complex and dynamic nature of today's business environment. By developing the ability to identify, embrace, and manage paradoxes, leaders can navigate inherent organizational contradictions and tensions and attain optimal outcomes in a challenging and perpetually changing context.

Skills and Capabilities for Effective Paradoxical Leadership

The effectiveness of paradoxical leadership is contingent on a leader's ability to cultivate and leverage a variety of skills and capabilities. The ensuing discussion explores key

competencies deemed critical for successful paradoxical leadership, dividing them into cognitive, emotional, and behavioral dimensions (Smith & Turner, 2015; Zhang et al., 2015).

Cognitive Skills

Cognitive skills form the backbone of paradoxical leadership. Leaders need the cognitive skills to comprehend the complexities and contradictions present within their organizations (Smith & Turner, 2015). Cognitive complexity, one such skill, relates to a leader's capacity to perceive multiple perspectives, dimensions, and nuances of a situation (Denison et al., 1995). Leaders demonstrating high cognitive complexity can appreciate the interconnectedness of opposing elements, positioning them to manage paradoxes effectively (Smith & Turner, 2015).

Systems thinking, another crucial cognitive skill, involves viewing the organization as an intricate, dynamic, and interconnected system (Senge, 1990). Demonstrating robust systems thinking enables the leaders to better identify and manage the interdependencies characteristic of organizational paradoxes (Zhang et al., 2015).

Emotional Skills

Emotional skills are equally vital for effective paradoxical leadership. Emotional Skills allow leaders to navigate the emotional dimensions of paradoxes and cultivate a positive emotional climate within the organization (Huy, 1999). Emotional intelligence, denoting the capacity to recognize, understand, and manage personal emotions and those of others, is crucial for paradoxical leaders (Goleman, 1995). Leaders with high emotional intelligence can empathize with various stakeholder perspectives, regulate emotional reactions to paradoxes, and create a psychological safety net that encourages open dialogue and collaboration (Smith & Turner, 2015).

Behavioral Skills

Paradoxical leaders must also display a variety of behavioral skills to balance and reconcile competing demands and expectations (Zhang et al., 2015). Notably, ambidexterity, referring to a leader's capacity to simultaneously pursue exploration (innovation and creativity) and exploitation (efficiency and control), is a crucial behavioral skill (O'Reilly & Tushman, 2008). Ambidextrous leaders can balance the contradictory business objectives, thereby fostering a climate of innovation and adaptability within their organizations (Zhang et al., 2015).

Flexibility, another essential behavioral skill, empowers paradoxical leaders to adjust the leadership style and approach to match the unique needs and challenges of the business organizations (Yukl, 2010). Leaders showcasing flexibility can smoothly transition between different leadership roles, such as being both supportive and challenging or both visionary and pragmatic, as required by the situation (Smith & Turner, 2015).

In conclusion, effective paradoxical leadership necessitates the cultivation and integration of diverse cognitive, emotional, and behavioral skills. Leaders who nurture the above competencies are better equipped to navigate the complexities and contradictions within the business organizations, promoting an atmosphere of adaptability, innovation, and resilience amid persistent change and uncertainty.

The Distinctive Managerial Challenges of Paradoxical Leadership

While paradoxical leadership offers numerous advantages, it also poses unique managerial challenges that leaders must stand ready to confront. The following discussion highlights key

challenges outlined in the literature, such as the cognitive and emotional strains associated with managing paradoxes, the potential for role overload, and the necessity for adaptive communication strategies (Lewis, 2000; Miron-Spektor et al., 2011; Smith & Turner, 2015).

Cognitive and Emotional Demands

Leadership in paradoxes can impose hefty cognitive and emotional demands. Leaders must wrestle with inherent tensions and contradictions that define their organizations (Lewis, 2000). Beyond the ability to recognize and appreciate paradoxes, leaders need emotional resilience to navigate the unavoidable conflicts and setbacks that can occur during this process (Miron-Spektor et al., 2011). Paradoxes often resist straightforward resolution and may require ongoing adaptation and learning, necessitating a level of comfort with ambiguity and uncertainty (Smith & Turner, 2015).

Role Overload

Paradoxical leadership frequently involves the balancing act of multiple, occasionally competing, roles and responsibilities, which can trigger role overload and heightened stress for leaders (Smith & Turner, 2015). For instance, leaders might find themselves required to perform as a visionary strategist, a supportive mentor, and a decisive decision-maker concurrently, each with unique expectations and demands (Yukl, 2010). To manage the paradoxical roles effectively, leaders need a significant degree of adaptability and self-awareness, coupled with strategies for prioritizing and delegating tasks to prevent burnout and preserve organizational effectiveness (Smith & Turner, 2015).

Adaptive Communication Strategies

Managing paradoxes also requires the crafting of adaptive communication strategies, which empower leaders to convey intricate, occasionally contradictory, messages to teams and stakeholders (Miron-Spektor et al., 2011). Leaders may need to employ framing techniques, such as metaphor and storytelling, to help others understand paradoxical situations and foster a shared comprehension of the organization's goals and values (Denning, 2006). Moreover, leaders who embrace paradox must excel at fostering open dialogue and creating a psychological safety net that encourages team members to voice their concerns, ideas, and perspectives, even if they differ from the leader's viewpoint (Edmondson, 1999).

Paradoxical leadership brings with it unique managerial challenges necessitating the development of an array of cognitive, emotional, and communicative skills. By accepting these challenges and cultivating the requisite competencies, leaders are better equipped to navigate the complexities and contradictions within the organizations. The paradoxical leadership approach, in turn, encourages adaptability, innovation, and resilience amid constant change and uncertainty.

Outcomes of Paradoxical Leadership

Paradoxical leadership aligns with a number of positive results for organizations. Such benefits include enhanced adaptability, innovation, employee engagement, and performance. The following sections delve deeper into these outcomes, supported by relevant literature and empirical studies (Lewis, 2000; Smith & Lewis, 2011; Zhang et al., 2015).

Boosted Adaptability

Paradoxical leaders, through the ability to embrace and manage paradoxes, cultivate an adaptive mindset within organizations. Such an approach allows organizations to react effectively to the often dynamic and unpredictable nature of their operating environments

(Smith & Lewis, 2011). The resulting adaptability engenders resilience, enabling organizations to navigate change and uncertainty with greater ease, which ultimately contributes to long-term viability and success (Cameron & Quinn, 2006).

Promotion of Innovation

Linkages exist between paradoxical leadership and increased levels of innovation within organizations. Leaders skilled in managing paradoxes can nurture an atmosphere that supports creative problem-solving and risk-taking (Zhang et al., 2015). Recognizing and valuing the inherent tensions within the processes of innovation, paradoxical leaders establish a supportive environment. Here, team members feel encouraged to explore new ideas, experiment with fresh approaches, and glean insights from their failures (Miron-Spektor et al., 2011).

Employee Engagement

Paradoxical leadership also connects with higher levels of employee engagement. Leaders adept at recognizing and managing paradoxes demonstrate a genuine commitment to the well-being and development of team members (Groysberg & Slind, 2012). Through the establishment of a culture marked by openness, trust, and psychological safety, leaders equipped with paradoxical skills facilitate conditions that allow employees to feel more tied to the work, colleagues, and organization (Edmondson, 1999).

Performance Enhancements

Studies suggest, paradoxical leadership positively affects both individual and organizational performance. For instance, Zhang et al. (2015) discovered a positive relationship between paradoxical leader behaviors and employee performance, mediated by heightened job satisfaction and diminished role stress. Moreover, organizations helmed by paradoxical leaders demonstrated superior levels of financial and operational performance, as well as enhanced adaptability in response to environmental changes (Smith & Lewis, 2011).

In summary, paradoxical leadership presents numerous potential advantages for organizations, encompassing increased adaptability, innovation, employee engagement, and performance. Leaders skilled in managing the tensions and contradictions within the organizations can contribute to the creation of an adaptive, innovative, and high-performing culture. Such a culture is well-equipped to thrive amid an increasingly complex and uncertain world.

Implications for Leadership Development and Effectiveness

Given the numerous benefits associated with paradoxical leadership, organizations must reflect on its impact on leadership development and effectiveness. In the following paragraphs, the discussion will focus on ways organizations can incorporate principles of paradoxical leadership into the leadership development programs. Moreover, the following section will examine the factors that enhance the effectiveness of paradoxical leadership (Denison et al., 1995; Lewis, 2000; Smith & Lewis, 2011).

Incorporating Paradoxical Leadership into Leadership Development Programs

Organizations might want to consider integrating paradoxical leadership principles into the leadership development programs. The above step helps prepare leaders to manage the intricate aspects of contemporary organizational life (Denison et al., 1995). Such preparation can involve educating leaders on recognizing and understanding paradoxes, as well as fostering skills for effectively embracing and managing tensions (Lewis, 2000). Suitable

training may encompass case studies, experiential learning, simulations, and other techniques that stimulate leaders to cultivate a paradox mindset and apply it to real-life situations (Smith & Lewis, 2011).

Personal and Contextual Factors Influencing Paradoxical Leadership Effectiveness

Several personal and contextual elements can shape the effectiveness of paradoxical leadership. On the personal level, factors such as cognitive complexity, emotional intelligence, and self-awareness enable leaders to recognize, comprehend, and manage paradoxes (Lewis, 2000). Contextual factors, including the organizational culture and the broader socio-economic environment, may either support or impede paradoxical leadership. The effect hinges on the extent to which the above factors value and encourage adaptability, innovation, and collaboration (Denison et al., 1995; Smith & Lewis, 2011).

Balancing Paradoxical Leadership with Other Leadership Styles

While paradoxical leadership comes with numerous benefits, leaders should remember, paradoxical leadership does not fit to every situation. Depending on the specific context and challenges, leaders might need to apply other leadership styles, such as transformational, transactional, or servant leadership. These can complement and enhance paradoxical leadership capabilities (Bass & Riggio, 2006; Northouse, 2018).

Assessing and Enhancing Paradoxical Leadership Effectiveness

Organizations ought to evaluate the leaders' success in managing paradoxes regularly. Organizations should offer feedback and development opportunities targeted towards improving the skills and abilities in paradoxical leadership area (Denison et al., 1995). Such an evaluation could involve self-assessments, 360-degree feedback, and other assessment techniques. The aim is to identify strengths and areas for improvement, as well as to monitor progress over time (Atwater & Waldman, 1998).

In summary, organizations can bolster leadership development and effectiveness by embedding paradoxical leadership principles into the development programs, taking into account personal and contextual factors shaping the effectiveness, balancing paradoxical leadership with other styles, and by periodically assessing and enhancing leaders' capacities to manage paradoxes. By undertaking the above steps, organizations can equip the leaders better to navigate the intricate and uncertain nature of the contemporary business environment, contributing to improved organizational performance and success.

Conclusion

The current study provides an in-depth look at paradoxical leadership, covering the conceptual foundation, the skills and abilities essential for effective paradoxical leadership, the unique managerial challenges, outcomes, and implications for leadership development and effectiveness. As an approach, paradoxical leadership proves beneficial for leaders striving to navigate the complexities and uncertainties of today's business environment, providing them with the capacity to balance contradictory demands and drive positive results for the organizations.

However, acknowledging certain limitations is essential. The first limitation stems from the exclusive reliance on open access articles for the literature review, which could have

potentially overlooked relevant publications which might enrich the discussion. The second is the study's focus on the Scopus database, which might have unintentionally ignored important contributions from other sources. Additionally, the lack of empirical investigation to validate the proposed ideas and relationships among different aspects of paradoxical leadership is another limitation.

Recognizing the above limitations opens up several avenues for future research. Possible areas of exploration could include:

1. Conducting empirical studies to examine the relationships between paradoxical leadership, antecedents, and outcomes, validating the theoretical framework proposed and offering evidence for the effectiveness of paradoxical leadership in diverse organizational contexts.
2. Exploring the interplay between paradoxical leadership and other leadership styles to gain better understanding of how the paradoxical leadership styles complement and enhance each other and the conditions that best suit each style.
3. Analyzing the impact of organizational culture and contextual factors on the effectiveness of paradoxical leadership, offering insights into creating environments that support paradoxical leaders.
4. Developing and testing interventions for enhancing the paradoxical leadership skills and abilities of leaders, and evaluating the impact of the interventions on leadership effectiveness and organizational performance.
5. Investigating the role of individual differences such as personality traits, cognitive styles, and emotional intelligence in shaping leaders' ability to manage paradoxes effectively, and identifying factors, which may moderate the relationship between paradoxical leadership and organizational outcomes.

In closing, paradoxical leadership presents a promising framework for understanding and managing the complexities of contemporary organizations. Building on the insights from the study, future research can further advance our understanding of paradoxical leadership, determinants, and consequences, contributing ultimately to the development of more effective leaders and organizations.

References

1. Abreu Pederzini, G. D. (2018). Leaders, power, and the paradoxical position: Fantasies for leaders' liberation. *Journal of Management Inquiry*, 27(3), 325-338. doi:10.1177/1056492617696891
2. Al Taji, F. N. A., &Bengo, I. (2019). The distinctive managerial challenges of hybrid organizations: Which skills are required? *Journal of Social Entrepreneurship*, 10(3), 328-345. doi:10.1080/19420676.2018.1543724
3. Allison, S., &Cecilione, J. (2016). Paradoxical truths in heroic leadership: Implications for leadership development and effectiveness. *Leadership paradoxes: Rethinking leadership for an uncertain world* (pp. 73-92) doi:10.4324/9781315751283 Retrieved from www.scopus.com

4. Amiridis, K. (2018). The shadow of sophocles: Tragedy and the ethics of leadership. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 28(1), 15-29. doi:10.1017/beq.2017.39
5. Boemelburg, R., Zimmermann, A., & Palmié, M. (2023). How paradoxical leaders guide their followers to embrace paradox: Cognitive and behavioral mechanisms of paradox mindset development. *Long Range Planning*, doi:10.1016/j.lrp.2023.102319
6. Bolden, R., Witzel, M., & Linacre, N. (2016). Leadership paradoxes: Rethinking leadership for an uncertain world. *Leadership paradoxes: Rethinking leadership for an uncertain world* (pp. 1-174) doi:10.4324/9781315751283 Retrieved from www.scopus.com
7. Bunnell, T., & Gardner-McTaggart, A. (2022). The cultural capital of senior leaders in elite traditional international schools: An enduring 'leadership nobility'? *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, doi:10.1080/13603124.2022.2032370
8. CASP (2018). Critical Appraisal Skills Programme: CASP Checklists. Retrieved from <https://casp-uk.net/casp-tools-checklists/>
9. Chen, Y., Ning, R., Yang, T., Feng, S., & Yang, C. (2018). Is transformational leadership always good for employee task performance? examining curvilinear and moderated relationships. *Frontiers of Business Research in China*, 12(1) doi:10.1186/s11782-018-0044-8
10. Collinson, D. (2017). Critical leadership studies: A response to learmouth and morrell. *Leadership*, 13(3), 272-284. doi:10.1177/1742715017694559
11. Collinson, D., & Collinson, M. (2009). 'Blended leadership': Employee perspectives on effective leadership in the UK further education sector. *Leadership*, 5(3), 365-380. doi:10.1177/1742715009337766
12. Cook-Sather, A. (2006). 'Change based on what students say': Preparing teachers for a paradoxical model of leadership. *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, 9(4), 345-358. doi:10.1080/13603120600895437
13. Cunha, M. P. E., Fortes, A., Gomes, E., Rego, A., & Rodrigues, F. (2019). Ambidextrous leadership, paradox and contingency: Evidence from angola. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 30(4), 702-727. doi:10.1080/09585192.2016.1201125
14. Dabak, S., & Mulla, Z. R. (2022). Does job crafting help deal with paradoxes of people management? *IIMB Management Review*, 34(1), 18-28. doi:10.1016/j.iimb.2022.04.003
15. Davies, S., White, G. R. T., Samuel, A., & Martin, H. (2021). Dialectics and dilemmas arising from covid-19 immunity testing: Presenting a workforce management paradox. *Journal of Work-Applied Management*, 13(2), 227-240. doi:10.1108/JWAM-11-2020-0052
16. Dold, L., & Speck, C. (2021). Resolving the productivity paradox of digitalised production. *International Journal of Production Management and Engineering*, 9(2), 65-80. doi:10.4995/IJPME.2021.15058
17. Epitropaki, O., & Mainemelis, C. (2016). The "genre bender": The creative leadership of kathrynbigelow doi:10.1108/S1479-357120160000008009 Retrieved from www.scopus.com
18. Eseryel, U. Y., Crowston, K., & Heckman, R. (2021). Functional and visionary leadership in self-managing virtual teams. *Group and Organization Management*, 46(2), 424-460. doi:10.1177/1059601120955034

19. Es-Sajjade, A., Pandza, K., & Volberda, H. (2021). Growing pains: Paradoxical tensions and vicious cycles in new venture growth. *Strategic Organization*, 19(1), 37-69. doi:10.1177/1476127020929003
20. Fayezi, S., Zomorodi, M., & Bals, L. (2018). Procurement sustainability tensions: An integrative perspective. *International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management*, 48(6), 586-609. doi:10.1108/IJPDLM-01-2017-0013
21. Fehér, J. (2022). An outline of certain generic values - work dimensions. *Organizacija*, 55(4), 322-332. doi:10.2478/orga-2022-0021
22. Förster, C., Paparella, C., Duchek, S., & Güttel, W. H. (2022). Leading in the paradoxical world of crises: How leaders navigate through crises. *Schmalenbach Journal of Business Research*, 74(4), 631-657. doi:10.1007/s41471-022-00147-7
23. Fraher, A., & Grint, K. (2018). Agonistic governance: The antinomies of decision-making in U.S. navy SEALs. *Leadership*, 14(2), 220-239. doi:10.1177/1742715016656649
24. Gunasekara, A., Dahanayake, P., Attanayake, C., & Bertone, S. (2022). Paternalistic leadership as a double-edged sword: Analysis of the srilankan President's response to the COVID-19 crisis. *Leadership*, 18(4), 498-519. doi:10.1177/17427150221083784
25. Huertas-Valdivia, I., Gallego-Burín, A. R., & Lloréns-Montes, F. J. (2019). Effects of different leadership styles on hospitality workers. *Tourism Management*, 71, 402-420. doi:10.1016/j.tourman.2018.10.027
26. Huikko-Tarvainen, S., Sajasalo, P., & Auvinen, T. (2021). Just a leader? leadership work challenges and identity contradiction experienced by finnish physician leaders. *Journal of Health Organization and Management*, 35(9), 195-210. doi:10.1108/JHOM-10-2020-0421
27. Joo, H. -, & Kim, T. (2022). Ambivalence toward bureaucracy: Responses from korean school principals. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 36(3), 311-324. doi:10.1108/IJEM-01-2021-0020
28. Kanadlı, S. B., Alawadi, A., Kakabadse, N., & Zhang, P. (2022). Do independent boards pay attention to corporate sustainability? gender diversity can make a difference. *Corporate Governance (Bingley)*, 22(7), 1390-1404. doi:10.1108/CG-09-2021-0352
29. Ketkar, S., & Puri, R. (2022). Investigating the 'Mission and profit' paradox: Case study of an ecopreneurial organisation in india. *Journal of Social Entrepreneurship*, doi:10.1080/19420676.2022.2132277
30. Klonek, F. E., Volery, T., & Parker, S. K. (2021). Managing the paradox: Individual ambidexterity, paradoxical leadership and multitasking in entrepreneurs across firm life cycle stages. *International Small Business Journal: Researching Entrepreneurship*, 39(1), 40-63. doi:10.1177/0266242620943371
31. Knight, E., & Paroutis, S. (2017). Becoming salient: The TMT Leader's role in shaping the interpretive context of paradoxical tensions. *Organization Studies*, 38(3-4), 403-432. doi:10.1177/0170840616640844
32. Lewis, M. W. (2000). Exploring paradox: Toward a more comprehensive guide. *Academy of Management Review*, 25(4), 760-776. doi: 10.5465/amr.2000.3707712
33. Lewis, M. W., Andriopoulos, C., & Smith, W. K. (2014). Paradoxical leadership to enable strategic agility. *California Management Review*, 56(3), 58-77. doi:10.1525/cmr.2014.56.3.58
34. Lindebaum, D., Jordan, P. J., & Morris, L. (2016). Symmetrical and asymmetrical outcomes of leader anger expression: A qualitative study of army personnel. *Human Relations*, 69(2), 277-300. doi:10.1177/0018726715593350

35. Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. G., & The PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *PLoS Med*, 6(7), e1000097. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097
36. Pereira, V., Patnaik, S., Temouri, Y., Tarba, S., Malik, A., & Bustinza, O. (2021). A longitudinal micro-foundational investigation into ambidextrous practices in an international alliance context—A case of a biopharma EMNE. *International Business Review*, 30(1) doi:10.1016/j.ibusrev.2020.101770
37. Reitz, M. (2015). Dialogue in organizations: Developing relational leadership. *Dialogue in organizations: Developing relational leadership* (pp. 1-258) doi:10.1057/9781137489128 Retrieved from www.scopus.com
38. Sainchuk, M., Petrachkov, O., Sainchuk, A., & Verbyn, N. (2022). The crisis of physical education in the discourse of mimicry: Ukrainian and global contexts. *Physical Culture and Sport, Studies and Research*, 94(1), 56-66. doi:10.2478/pcssr-2022-0006
39. Shao, Y., Nijstad, B. A., & Täuber, S. (2019). Creativity under workload pressure and integrative complexity: The double-edged sword of paradoxical leadership. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 155, 7-19. doi:10.1016/j.obhdp.2019.01.008
40. Shemla, M., Kearney, E., Wegge, J., & Stegmann, S. (2020). Unlocking the performance potential of functionally diverse teams: The paradoxical role of leader mood. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 93(3), 530-555. doi:10.1111/joop.12303
41. Simpson, A. V., Panayiotou, A., Berti, M., e Cunha, M. P., Kanji, S., & Clegg, S. (2023). Pandemic, power and paradox: Improvising as the new normal during the COVID-19 crisis. *Management Learning*, 54(1), 3-13. doi:10.1177/13505076221132980
42. Simpson, A. V., Rego, A., Berti, M., Clegg, S., & Pina e Cunha, M. (2022). Theorizing compassionate leadership from the case of jacindaardern: Legitimacy, paradox and resource conservation1. *Leadership*, 18(3), 337-358. doi:10.1177/17427150211055291
43. Smith, P., & Turner, S. (2015). Paradoxical leadership: The importance of understanding contradiction. *Organizational Dynamics*, 44(4), 225-234. doi: 10.1016/j.orgdyn.2015.08.002
44. Smith, W. K., Besharov, M. L., Wessels, A. K., & Chertok, M. (2012). A paradoxical leadership model for social entrepreneurs: Challenges, leadership skills, and pedagogical tools for managing social and commercial demands. *Academy of Management Learning and Education*, 11(3), 463-478. doi:10.5465/amle.2011.0021
45. Sousa, M., & van Dierendonck, D. (2017). Servant leadership and the effect of the interaction between humility, action, and hierarchical power on follower engagement. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 141(1), 13-25. doi:10.1007/s10551-015-2725-y
46. Sparr, J. L., van Knippenberg, D., & Kearney, E. (2022). Paradoxical leadership as sensegiving: Stimulating change-readiness and change-oriented performance. *Leadership and Organization Development Journal*, 43(2), 225-237. doi:10.1108/LODJ-04-2021-0161
47. Stead, V., Elliott, C., & Gardiner, R. A. (2021). Leadership legitimacy and the mobilization of capital(s): Disrupting politics and reproducing heteronormativity. *Leadership*, 17(6), 693-714. doi:10.1177/17427150211018314
48. Syed, J., & Tariq, M. (2017). Paradox of gender and leadership in india: A critical review of mardaani. *South Asian Journal of Business Studies*, 6(3), 365-379. doi:10.1108/SAJBS-05-2016-0047

49. Van Assen, M. F. (2018). The moderating effect of management behavior for lean and process improvement. *Operations Management Research*, 11(1-2), 1-13.
doi:10.1007/s12063-018-0129-8
50. Vince, R. (2018). The learning organization as paradox: Being for the learning organization also means being against it. *Learning Organization*, 25(4), 273-280.
doi:10.1108/TLO-08-2017-0083
51. Yu, M., Wen, J., Smith, S. M., & Stokes, P. (2022). Building-up resilience and being effective leaders in the workplace: A systematic review and synthesis model. *Leadership and Organization Development Journal*, 43(7), 1098-1117.
doi:10.1108/LODJ-09-2021-0437
52. Zhang, Y., Waldman, D. A., Han, Y., & Li, X. (2015). Paradoxical leader behaviors in people management: Antecedents and consequences. *Academy of Management Journal*, 58(2), 538-566. doi: 10.5465/amj.2013.0753