CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF AI'S IMPACT ON TRADEMARK EXAMINATION AND ITS ROLE IN PREVENTING THE REGISTRATION OF DECEPTIVELY SIMILAR MARKS IN INDIA

Ms. Anita H Pathak[1], Dr. Anjula S Chowbe[2]

[1](Ph. D. Research Scholar) School of Law, Sandip University, Nashik, Maharashtra, India
[2]Associate Professor, Research Guide School of Law, Sandip University, Nashik, Maharashtra, India.

KEYWORDS
Artificial Intelligence in Trademark Examination, Intellectual Property Law, Trademark Registration in India, Deceptively Similar Marks, AI in Legal Frameworks, Machine Learning in IP, Trademark Search Processes, Non-Traditional Trademarks, Phonetic and Conceptual Similarity Detection, Trademarks Act, 1999.

ABSTRACT
This paper critically examine the impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in trademark examination in India, more specifically its assigned to evaluate the efficacy of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and its role in preventing the registration of deceptively similar marks and to highlight the critical role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) which can be observed in restraining the Similar and closely resemble mark from getting registered. AI tools, including machine learning, natural language processing, and image recognition, are making trademark evaluations process at examination stage more effective, accurate, efficient, and consistent. These improvements help to manage the voluminous number of Trademark applications and the challenges of assessing trademarks application manually in India's due to varied cultural and linguistic environment leading to generic name getting bypassed. By combining AI with traditional practices, the process has become better and robust at detecting phonetic, conceptual, and visual similarities. Still, there are challenges like data biases, transparency issues, and the need to fit with legal framework. This study highlights how AI could transform intellectual property law while suggesting ways to incorporate it smoothly into India's legal system, which is essential for protecting brand identity and promoting fair competition.

INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) (Council, (2016)(referred as 2nd Obama report)) is rapidly changing the landscape across various sectors, especially in the area of intellectual property (IP) law (JAMES WALKER, n.d.). One significant development is its role in trademark examination, which is becoming more streamlined and precise. In India, trademarks are governed by the Trade Marks Act of 1999 (Trademark Act, 1999) and serve a vital purpose in helping consumers differentiate products and services in a crowded market (judgment of 22/06/1999, C-342/97, Lloyd Schuhfabrik, EU:C:1999:323,§ 26). However, the challenge of registering similar-sounding trademarks remains a pressing concern, leading to the need for robust strategies to prevent consumer confusion and protect brand identities (Supreme court of India in Dau Dayal Vs State of Uttar Pradesh AIR 1959 Sc 433.).

Over time, the trademark system in India has undergone considerable growth. The Controller General of Patents, Designs, and Trade Marks (CGPDTM) reported a rise in trademark applications, increasing from 316,798 in the fiscal year 2016-17 to 455,689 in 2020-21 (Controller General of Patents, Designs and Trademarks (CGPDTM) reports 2016-2021). This trend reflects a heightened demand for effective trademark evaluation. The surge, combined with the complexities involved in comparing similarities in sound, appearance, and meaning, emphasizes the necessity for technological assistance. AI tools, such as those linked to WIPO's Global Brand Database (WIPO Global Brand Database) and used by IP India, employ machine

learning to detect potential trademark disputes. These tools can process vast amounts of data, identifying similarities that might escape human examiners, ultimately reducing errors and inconsistencies.

Despite AI's promising capabilities, there are some challenges in its application in trademark examination. Key concerns include how AI makes decisions (by Lalitkumar K. Vora), the potential for biases in its training data, and whether it can truly understand complex legal principles. For instance, the Supreme Court of India, in the significant case of (Cadila Health Care Ltd. v. Cadila Pharmaceuticals Ltd.), outlined crucial elements to consider when evaluating deceptive similarities, including phonetic similarities, types of products, and the likelihood of confusing consumers. This highlights the need for careful consideration of AI's limitations when integrating it into such a detailed legal framework.

This paper aims to thoroughly examine the impact of AI on trademark examination in India, particularly its role in preventing the registration of deceptively similar trademarks which has potential capacity to cause confusion in consumer mind. By looking into the benefits, challenges, and effects of AI in this field, the study seeks to clarify how AI can enhance both the effectiveness and equity of the trademark registration process and help in assist the examiner in dealing with more specifically with the selected mark.

THE ROLE OF AI AND TECHNOLOGY IN IDENTIFYING AND DETECTING ALREADY REGISTERED TRADEMARKS.

To tackle the limitations of traditional methods, India is starting to use AI and machine learning for trademark searches. Some benefits of AI include: **Automated Searches**: AI tools can quickly go through large datasets and find potential conflicts with high accuracy. **Phonetic and Conceptual Analysis**: Advanced algorithms can better recognize linguistic differences and similar concepts than human examiners. **Greater Consistency**: Using AI reduces the subjectivity of manual searches, leading to more consistent examination results.

The search process is an essential part of trademark examination in India, helping to ensure that new trademarks do not infringe on existing rights and don’t confuse consumers. As the process evolves from traditional manual searches to modern tools powered by AI, it has become more effective and reliable. However, ongoing improvements in technology, training, and database management are key to overcoming existing challenges and ensuring the trademark registration system remains trustworthy.

TOOLS AND METHODS AI USES TO PREVENT SIMILAR MARKS AND CHALLENGES AI FACES

AI employs smart methods to find similarities across categories, such as: **Machine Learning:** Machine learning models are trained on large amounts of trademark data to identify patterns in sounds, visuals, and concepts. These models improve over time by learning from new registrations and their outcomes (Dahiya). **Natural Language Processing (NLP):** NLP algorithms look at the text in trademarks to find phonetic and language-based similarities. This is especially important in India, where trademarks might be in Hindi, Tamil, Bengali, or other regional languages. **Image Recognition:** AI tools compare visual elements like logos and packaging designs across different trademark classes. For instance, AI could find that a sunburst design for a clothing brand is really similar to one for a drink. **Analyzing Conceptual Similarity:** AI looks at the deeper ideas behind trademarks to spot any similarities in meaning. For example, if one brand uses a lion to symbolize strength and another uses a tiger for the same idea, AI can flag these similarities. **Challenges AI Faces** Even though AI is very effective, there are challenges to consider for it to work well in India: **Cultural Context**: AI may struggle with cultural details that a human examiner would understand better. For instance,

a peacock in India symbolizes national pride, which AI might miss in assessing a trademark. **Bias in Data:** If AI learns from biased or incomplete information, it might not recognize certain similarities, leading to uneven results. **Legal Compatibility:** AI models have to fit with Indian laws and court decisions, like the Supreme Court's focus on the overall impression of a trademark rather than just its individual parts. **Lack of Transparency:** AI can often act like a "black box," making decisions that are hard to explain in legal situations. This can cause trust issues.

THE EFFECT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AI'S IN TRADEMARK EXAMINATION IN INDIA TODAY

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has brought changes to many fields, including trademark examination. In India, the growing number of trademark applications and the challenges of assessing them in various languages and cultures have made it crucial to include AI in this process. By doing so, we can improve the speed, accuracy, and consistency of trademark evaluations, bringing India closer to international standards in managing intellectual property. Here's a look at how AI is influencing trademark examination in India today:

The Need for AI in Trademark Examination - India is quickly becoming one of the world's largest economies, thanks to a thriving start up scene and rapid industrial growth. This has led to a massive rise in trademark applications, but the traditional way of examining them has its drawbacks:

Application Backlogs: With so many new applications each year, human examiners often can't keep up. Difficulty with Non-Traditional Marks: Evaluating trademarks that involve shapes, sounds, colors, or holograms is tough for people to do on their own. Human Error: Manual reviews can lead to mistakes and inconsistencies. Language and Cultural Diversity: The many languages and cultures in India make trademark evaluation more complicated. AI can help solve these issues by automating and streamlining parts of the examination process.

EFFECTIVE TOOL IN TRADEMARK EXAMINATION HAS BEEN EDGE BY USE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AI IN

Several AI tools are now at work in India's trademark examination process. These tools make use of cutting-edge technologies, such as machine learning, natural language processing, and image recognition, to improve how we assess trademarks.

Similarity Searches *AI systems look for similarities between trademarks based on how they sound, look, and their meanings. For instance: AI can find matches between stylized text marks and logos that human reviewers might miss. Advanced algorithms can sift through extensive trademark databases quickly, pinpointing possible conflicts.*

Predictive Analytics *AI can estimate how likely it is for a trademark to be accepted or denied using past data and trends from the Trademark Registry. Image and Logo Recognition AI can analyze visual elements of trademarks, such as: Logos: Comparing their shapes and designs. Colors: Looking for similarities in color combinations. 3D Shapes: Checking for potential conflicts with non-traditional marks, like product packaging* (TRADEMARK OFFICE OF NATIONAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION, PRC).

Phonetic Analysis *AI utilizes phonetic techniques to identify trademarks that sound alike across different languages and dialects, addressing India's unique linguistic challenges.*

Automated Classification *AI helps in accurately categorizing goods and services according to the Nice Classification system, lowering the chances of errors and making the process more consistent.*

Machine Learning in Trademark Examination *Machine learning, a branch of AI, plays a big role in trademark examination by training models to spot patterns and make decisions based on past information. Training AI Models AI is trained on large collections of previous trademarks, examination reports, and legal rulings. This helps it understand: Common trademark conflicts. The key point's examiners consider when making decisions. The cultural and linguistic factors relevant to trademarks in India. Real-Time Learning AI systems can learn continuously, adjusting to new data like recent trademark registrations and legal changes. This keeps them in sync with current trademark law and practices*

ANALYSIS OF TRADEMARK EXAMINATION PROCESS IN INDIA AND ITS REPERCUSSION ON EXAMINATION REPORTS.

In India, the trademark examination process is a key part of the trademark registration system, which operates under the Trade Marks Act of 1999 and the Trade Marks Rules of 2017. This process involves human examiners thoroughly checking trademark applications to see if they fulfil the legal requirements for registration. They look at various factors like how unique the trademark is, whether it might have potential effect in causing confusion among the consumers and its impact on subsequent compliance with laws, and to analyse of its conformity with to judicial precedent. This process will aid and assist to achieve better protection to both brand identities and consumer rights, creating a fair marketplace. Here's a straightforward look at what happens during the manual trademark examination process in India (Engfield, n.d.):

Examination Mechanism and role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Processing the Trademark Application.

When an ordinary application is submitted online or in physical form to the Trademark Registry, it must first undergo a formality check to review the documents and ensure all necessary compliances are met according to the Trademark Manual and Act. Based on this check, a report is submitted, indicating whether the application is sent for examination or if it is held for a response to the formality check failure. If the application clears the formality check, it is transferred to the Examiner for detailed examination. The Trademark Examiner reviews various important aspects to assess the absolute and relative refusal grounds, distinctiveness (Schechter), class examination, and the validity of the mark. The examiner also ensures that any claims of prior use are properly evaluated according to the regulations.

a. Absolute Refusal Grounds (Section 9 of the Trade Marks Act, 1999) **"***The examiner decides if the trademark is unique enough or if it simply describes the product. Generic terms (like "Soap" for soap) and trademarks that mislead, are offensive, or go against public interest will be turned down."*

b. Relative Refusal Grounds (Section 11 of the Trade Marks Act, 1999) **"***Here, the examiner searches the trademark database to find any previously registered trademarks that might conflict with the new application. They look for similarities in sound, appearance, and meaning. For instance, "ABC Mart" might be rejected if "ABC Mart India" already exists in a similar market."*

The Examiner has to over view the pre-existing criterion to evaluate the examination of the mark looking at various aspect which are required to be examined One of the vital steps is checking for already existing trademarks that might clash with the new one. Examiners manually search through the Trademark Registry and consider: - ***Phonetic Similarity****: How similar the trademarks sound (e.g., "Kare" and "Care").* ***Visual Similarity:*** *How alike the trademarks appear (e.g., similar logos or fonts)* (Lince, n.d.). ***Conceptual Similarity:*** *If they convey similar meanings (e.g., "Sun" and "Solar").* ***Cross-Class Similarities:*** *Sometimes, trademarks in unrelated classes can conflict if they are well-known*. However the effective use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) at this stage allow the examiner to save time and hard labour which can be utilized for the effective analysis of the mark on various legal aspect. The Artificial Intelligence (AI) use also allow to ensure the timely progression of examination

process and which yield in achieving the optimum result of examination report. The Trader are timely made aware that they should either restrain from using such Trade Name or should carry adequate modification in the proposed mark.

c. Distinctiveness "*The examiner checks if the trademark has enough originality to identify the applicant's goods or services. If the trademark has gained distinctiveness from prior use, it could be accepted, provided there's enough supporting evidence like sales records or advertisements"*. (Trademark Act, 1999)

d. Class Examination "*The examiner ensures that the goods and services listed in the application match the correct class according to the Nice Classification system. If the application is misclassified or described too broadly, objections may arise."*

EXPLORING THE INTERSECTION OF HUMAN EXPERTISE AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN MANUAL EXAMINATION IN ABSENCE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (AI)

While the manual examination process is thorough, it faces some challenges: ***Increasing Application Volume:*** *The rise in trademark filings can lead to delays and backlogs.* ***Subjectivity:*** *The examiners' personal judgments can create inconsistencies.* ***Complex Marks:*** *Non-traditional trademarks, like sound or color marks, are harder to evaluate and often require specialized knowledge.* ***Human Error:*** *Manual reviews can overlook details, especially with many applications to process.* ***Time Demand:*** *The manual process can take a long time, affecting the overall efficiency of trademark registration.*

Significance of Manual Examination in India The Examination of any mark not only required to have subjective knowledge about the above mentioned element and whereby at this junction it need to have human intervention when AI has certain limitation in processing the large data and algorithms may struggle in evaluating and fail to respond the exact outcome upon application, which includes specific errors and absurd outcome, The Examiner has to play pivot role at this stage where he has to filter the Data achieved through Artificial Intelligence (AI) and to remove such defect from the result and to carry examination of the said mark based on his personal expertise. Even with its challenges, manual examination plays a vital role in making sure trademark registrations respect India's legal and cultural context. Human examiners are able to consider: ***Cultural Sensitivities****: They carefully evaluate trademarks that could relate to religious symbols or culturally important terms.* ***Legal Precedents****: Examiners refer to significant court rulings, like Parle Products (P) Ltd. v. J.P. & Co., Mysore, to guide their decisions.* Therefore, the manual trademark examination process in India is essential for maintaining the integrity of the trademark system. Although it can be resource-heavy and sometimes inconsistent, it ensures trademarks comply with the Trade Marks Act of 1999 and international standards. Utilizing AI tools and automation could help address some of these challenges while keeping the valuable judgment and discretion of human examiners intact. Together, these improvements can make trademark registration in India more efficient, accurate, and fair.

The Role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Preparing the Examination Report

After reviewing the application, the examiner has to over view the suggestion given by **Artificial Intelligence (AI)** and also to have human intervention in order to remove absurdity in suggestion given base on **Artificial Intelligence (AI),** it is very essential to review the opinion and suggestion given and to check its validity in accordance's to the Manual and Statute and also ensure to achieve credible data check in compliances according to the governing norms and upon the critical examining the Data and Legal Compliances it is the responsibility of the examiner to draft the Examination Report based on his observation either to award **Acceptance to the mark** If everything is in order, the trademark is approved for publication in the Trademark Journal, making it eligible for registration unless there's timely opposition filed before the Trademark registry. And if the **Objections Raised** due to certain

failure in compliances or issues, the examiner will cite specific objections based on the Trade Marks Act, which might include *Lack of distinctiveness, Possible conflicts with existing trademarks, Incorrect classification of goods/services and Misleading elements in the trademark.* The report is shared with the applicant, who must respond within 30 days. If they miss this deadline, the application may be abandoned.

THE IMPORTANCE OF THE SEARCH PROCESS IN TRADEMARK EXAMINATION IN INDIA

The search process is a key part of trademark examination that helps ensure proposed trademarks don't clash with pre-existing marks. This step is vital because it keeps the registration process fair and prevents misleading consumers. In India, where trademark applications are on the rise, protecting intellectual property is crucial for encouraging innovation and healthy competition. In India, the Trade Marks Act of 1999 lays out how the search process should work. Human examiners carry out searches, backed by digital resources like the IP India trademark database. Let's take a closer look at why the search process is so important in trademark examination. Trademark searches are essential for spotting possible conflicts with trademarks that already exist. This helps in several ways by *Preventing Similar Marks: The search process picks up on trademarks that might sound or look alike to the proposed trademark. This helps cut down on consumer confusion and legal issues. Supporting Fair Competition: By flagging and turning down marks that are too similar to others in the market, the search process helps ensure a level playing field for businesses. Protecting Owners' Rights: It keeps existing trademark owners safe from unauthorized use or imitation by newer applicants.* Trademark searches usually involve several levels of checks to find any conflicting marks (ASSOCIATION, n.d.).

These include:

a. *Phonetic Searches - Examiners look for trademarks that sound alike even if spelled differently (like "Kare" vs. "Care"). In a multicultural place like India, these sound similarities can lead to confusion.*
b. *Visual or Structural Searches - Examiners check for visual similarities in logos, fonts, and design elements. For example, two logos might share a similar shape, leading to confusion despite having different colors.*
c. *Conceptual Searches - Even if trademarks use different words or visuals, if they share a similar meaning, they are examined for potential conflicts. For instance, "Sun" and "Solar" might be seen as closely related if used for similar products.*
d. *Cross-Class Searches - Sometimes, trademarks in different categories are also searched to make sure well-known marks aren't being infringed upon. For example, "TATA" is a famous name and is protected across various product classes.*

Traditional Search Methods In the past, trademark searches were done manually or with basic keyword searches, which had their own challenges: Manual Checking: Examiners relied on printed records or simple digital databases, which made the process slow and prone to mistakes. Limited Scope: These older methods often missed phonetic or conceptual similarities, leading to uneven results. Delays: Manual processes added time to examinations, contributing to backlogs of trademark applications.

Modern Tools and Databases for Trademark Searches the rise of contemporary search tools and databases has changed how trademark searches are done in India. Some important resources include:

IP India Trademark Search Portal *This online platform, run by the Controller General of Patents, Designs, and Trade Marks, centralizes trademark searches in India. It offers features like: Phonetic Searches: Finding trademarks that sound similar. Class-Specific Searches: Looking for trademarks within specific categories according to the Nice Classification. Device Mark Searches: Helping users find logos or graphical marks by description.*

WIPO Global Brand Database *the World Intellectual Property Organization provides a platform for global searches that includes Indian trademarks and international ones filed under the Madrid Protocol. This database allows searches that comply with international trademark rules.*

AI-Powered Search Tools *New technologies, including artificial intelligence, are now part of the trademark search process. AI can analyze phonetic, visual, and conceptual similarities quickly and accurately, handling large amounts of data effectively.*

HOW ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE HAS GIVEN EDGE TO SEARCHES PROCESS IN TRADEMARK EXAMINATION

Having a well-run search process edge with artificial intelligence is vital for the success and fairness of trademark examinations. Its importance can be summed up in these points:

Finding Conflicting Trademarks*: Comprehensive searches help identify any existing trademarks that could conflict with new applications, ensuring that new registrations don't infringe on previous users' rights.* ***Preventing Consumer Confusion****: By identifying and rejecting trademarks that are likely to confuse consumers, the search process helps keep clear information about products and services.* ***Meeting Legal Requirements****: The process supports compliance with Section 11 of the Trade Marks Act, 1999, which prohibits registering trademarks that are too similar to existing ones.* ***Lowering Legal Disputes****: Thorough searches can reduce the chances of challenges or legal issues during registration, saving time and resources for applicants and the Trademark Registry.*

Challenges Facing the Search Process

Even with new advancements, the search process in India faces some challenges like Data Volume, Incomplete Records, Subjectivity and Linguistic Variations

Data Volume: The growing number of trademark applications each year makes it tough to conduct thorough searches. **Incomplete Records**: The trademark database may not always be up-to-date, resulting in possible oversights. **Subjectivity**: Manual searches by human examiners can produce inconsistent results. **Linguistic Variations**: India's diverse languages might not always be effectively captured by search tools.

AI's Effectiveness with Non-Traditional Marks

AI is especially good at evaluating non-traditional trademarks, which are on the rise in India. These include: Sound Marks: AI tools assess audio files for similarities in tone and pitch. Color Marks: AI examines color patterns to find conflicts. Holograms and 3D Shapes: AI uses image recognition to compare three-dimensional marks with existing ones. Scent and Texture Marks: While rarer, AI can evaluate unique features like smells or textures using sensor data.

Spotting Similarities beyond Just Words AI is really good at looking for similarities in trademarks that go beyond just the text. It reviews trademarks as a whole, focusing on (WIPO ABOUIT IP, n.d.):

a. **Logos and Designs:** AI tools with image recognition can analyze the visual features of logos and other marks, like shapes and colors.
   For instance, if a logo has a swoosh similar to Nike's, AI can flag it, even if the name is different.
b. **Color Usage**: AI checks for specific colors or combinations that are key to a brand's identity. For example, AI might catch that two brands are using the same shade of purple for chocolate, like Cadbury's.
c. **Shapes in Two and Three Dimensions:** AI looks closely at 2D and 3D shapes found in packaging or product designs to find similarities.
   If a new soda bottle resembles Coca-Cola's famous shape, AI can point that out.

d. Uncommon Marks: AI also considers unique trademarks such as holograms and motion marks for any overlapping features. For example, if a holographic mark has a similar motion to an existing one, AI can detect that through video analysis.

Challenges of AI Integration in Trademark Examination

Despite its benefits, bringing AI into trademark examination in India presents some challenges: **Transparency:** AI systems often work in ways that are hard to understand, causing potential trust issues. **Data Bias:** If AI systems are trained on biased information, they may produce unfair or inconsistent outcomes, especially given India's diversity. **Aligning with Indian Law:** AI tools must be adapted to fit India's specific legal requirements and cultural values. **Hesitance to Adapt:** Some human examiners and legal experts might resist using AI due to lack of familiarity or fears about job security. **Implementation Costs:** Setting up advanced AI systems requires significant investment in technology.

Effectiveness of AI's Role in Preventing Similar Marks across Different Categories in India

AI is changing the game when it comes to checking trademarks, especially in spotting and blocking similar marks in various categories. In a fast-growing economy like India, where businesses cover a wide range of industries, it's really important to keep trademarks unique and clear. AI steps up here by going beyond the usual search methods, allowing for more thoughtful and detailed assessments of trademark applications. Let's take a closer look at how AI helps prevent similar marks in India:

Need to have effective channel to prevent Similar Marks from getting registration.

Keeping similar trademarks from being registered is important for several reasons: Avoiding Confusion for Consumers: Marks that look alike in different areas can mislead consumers about who makes the products or offers the services.

Protecting Established Brands: Big names like TATA and Reliance need safeguarding to keep their good names intact. Encouraging Fair Competition: Having unique trademarks supports healthy competition and new ideas in the market. According to Section 11 of the Trade Marks Act, 1999, marks that are misleadingly similar can't be registered, whether they're in the same category or not. AI is vital in upholding this rule by spotting possible conflicts.

EFFECTIVE STEPS TAKEN TO IMPROVE AI FOR BETTER OUTCOME IN TRADEMARK SEARCH RESULT.

To tackle the challenges with AI in checking trademarks, the following steps can be taken: **Better Training Data**: Use diverse datasets that include regional languages and cultural details. **Combination Approach**: Mix AI analysis with human expertise to ensure accuracy in context and law. **Clearer AI Decisions:** Create AI systems that can explain their reasoning clearly. Regular Updates: Keep AI systems updated to reflect new laws, court rulings, and trends in trademarks (Petrova, n.d.).

Legal Cases That Support AI

It's important for AI to align with legal principles for it to be accepted in trademark examinations. Some important cases that highlight relevant factors for AI analysis include:

Cadila Health Care Ltd. v. Cadila Pharmaceuticals Ltd.: Highlighted phonetic similarities and the risk of confusing consumers.

(Amritdhara Pharmacy v. Satyadeo Gupta)*: Emphasized the need to look at the overall impression of a trademark.*

AI tools can use these principles to make sure their analyses meet Indian legal standards.

Real-World Examples

a. Global Cases: The European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) uses AI for image recognition, speeding up examination times. WIPO's (WIPO, 2025) Global Brand Database uses AI to analyze similarities across international trademarks (EUIPO, n.d.).

b. In India: The Controller General of Patents, Designs, and Trade Marks (CGPDTM) has started pilot projects to test AI in trademark examination. AI tools are being tried out for cross-category searches to find conflicts involving well-known and unique trademarks.

AI is making a big difference in preventing similar marks across different categories in India. By using machine learning, NLP, and image recognition, AI allows for accurate trademark analysis. While there are still challenges like understanding context and aligning with legal standards, these can be managed through better data, a mixed approach, and regulatory oversight. As AI keeps advancing, it has the ability to change India's trademark examination process for the better, making it more efficient, consistent, and fairer in protecting intellectual property rights.

Conclusion

AI has become an important resource for tackling the challenges of trademark examination in India. It provides ways to handle the growing number of applications and the complexities of culturally sensitive trademarks. By using advanced technologies like machine learning and image recognition, AI has made the trademark registration process more efficient and fairer. However, issues like transparency, biases, and fitting with Indian legal principles require careful consideration as we adopt these technologies. Combining AI with human judgment can create a balanced process that honors the complexities of trademark law while being effective. Going forward, investing in training data, aligning with legal standards, and improving technology will be important for maximizing AI's role in protecting intellectual property rights and encouraging innovation in India.

References

Amritdhara Pharmacy v. Satyadeo Gupta, S. C. (n.d.).

ASSOCIATION, I. T. (n.d.). *USE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE BY IP REGISTRIES.* Retrieved from https://www.inta.org/: https://www.inta.org/wp-content/uploads/public-files/advocacy/committee-reports/AI-Use-by-IP-Registries-Report_-10.18.2019.pdf

by Lalitkumar K. Vora, A. D. (n.d.). Artificial Intelligence in Pharmaceutical Technology and Drug Delivery Design.

Cadila Health Care Ltd. v. Cadila Pharmaceuticals Ltd., S. C. (n.d.).

(n.d.). *Controller General of Patents, Designs and Trademarks (CGPDTM) reports 2016-2021.*

Council, N. S. ((2016)(referred as 2nd Obama report)). *Preparing for the Future of Artificial Intelligence.*

Dahiya, N. (n.d.). *S & A Law Firm.* Retrieved from sandalawoffices.com: https://sandalawoffices.com/the-intersection-of-ai-and-trademarks/

Engfield, N. (n.d.). *trademarkraft.com*. Retrieved from trademarkraft: https://trademarkraft.com/blogs/news/the-impact-of-ai-on-trademark-searches-how-technology-is-changing-the-landscape

EUIPO. (n.d.). Retrieved from https://euipo.europa.eu/eSearch/.

JAMES WALKER, G. C. (n.d.). Retrieved from http://www.digitaljournal.com/tech-and-science/technology/op-ed-sundar-pichaithinks-

judgment of 22/06/1999, C-342/97, Lloyd Schuhfabrik, EU:C:1999:323,§ 26.

Lince, T. (n.d.). *WORLD TRADEMARK REVIEW*. Retrieved from https://www.worldtrademarkreview.com/: https://www.worldtrademarkreview.com/article/how-ai-will-revolutionise-trademark-searches

Petrova, I. (n.d.). *EVALUESERVE*. Retrieved from https://www.evalueserve.com: https://www.evalueserve.com/blog/harnessing-the-power-of-ai-in-trademark-enforcement/

Schechter, F. I. (n.d.). *The Rational Basis of Trademark Protection.* 40 HARV. L REV 813 (1927).

Supreme court of India in Dau Dayal Vs State of Uttar Pradesh AIR 1959 Sc 433..

Trademark Act, 1999. (n.d.).

TRADEMARK OFFICE OF NATIONAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION, PRC. (n.d.). Retrieved from LINDA LIU AND PARTNER: https://www.lindapatent.com/en/info_news/904.html

WIPO. (2025, 01 22). Retrieved from WIPO.

WIPO ABOUIT IP. (n.d.). Retrieved from WIPO: https://www.wipo.int/about-ip/en/artificial_intelligence/search.jsp

WIPO Global Brand Database. (n.d.).