# Information Systems in Nephrology: A Synthesized narrative review

**S. Parthasarathy[1], P. S Yogitha[2], M.R. Suchitra[3]*.**

*[1]Professor,Department of anaesthesiology, Mahatma Gandhi Medical college and Research Institute Sri Balaji Vidyapeeth (deemed to be university) Pondicherry India , Email: painfreepartha@gmail.com https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3808-6722*
*[2]Research scholar, Department of chemistry and biosciences, SASTRA(SRC)Kumbakonam , India. yogithapsy@gmail.com-https://orcid.org/0009-0000-5525-9405,*
*[3]Assistant Professor, Department of chemistry and biosciences, SASTRA(SRC),Kumbakonam , India. dietviji@yahoo.com https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6055-7589*

Correspondence to
Dr. M.R.Suchitra
dietviji@yahoo.com

**KEYWORDS**
Nephrology, kidney disease, information systems

**ABSTRACT:**

Background

The implementation of information systems in nephrology has the potential to significantly enhance patient care, streamline clinical workflows, and improve outcomes for patients with kidney diseases. However, several challenges inhibit the optimal use of these technologies. Objective: This paper aims to identify and discuss the key challenges related to the integration and utilisation of information systems in nephrology, focusing on data integration, resistance to change, and data quality.

Methods

We performed an in-depth literature review and case studies of the application of EHRs, CDSS, and other electronic tools in nephrology. Key issues were identified and categorized into three main areas: data integration, change resistance among healthcare professionals, and data quality management.

Results

Data Integration: The distribution of patient information across multiple systems renders nephrologists incapable of accessing complete data on patients, which results in a delay and confusion in treating the patient. Interoperability between different systems not only acts as a barrier to clinical decision-making but also increases administrative burdens.

Resistance to Change: Changing from old to new technology is a challenge culturally and operationally. Most healthcare professionals' express scepticism about the new systems due to fear of job loss, dependence on their expertise, and concerns over data security.

Data Quality: Incomplete or inaccurate data can compromise patient safety and the effectiveness of treatment, which calls for robust governance protocols to ensure data integrity. Advanced technologies, such as AI, can be implemented to identify discrepancies in real time.

Conclusion

Overcoming these challenges will help tap into the true power of information systems in nephrology. This will be possible if health institutions prioritize data integration, promote change management, and ensure data quality, which in turn enhances care delivery and outcomes for patients with kidney diseases. Training and technological investment in the future will greatly overcome these barriers.

## Introduction

As healthcare is increasingly embracing digital transformation, the field of nephrology is no exception, witnessing the integration of advanced information systems that significantly enhance patient care and clinical decision-making[1]. Nephrology deals with disorders related to the kidneys, and the complexity of managing these conditions necessitates meticulous data management and communication between healthcare professionals. Information systems in this sector perform multiple significant roles such as patient management, clinical decision support, and analytics[2]. Electronic health records (EHRs), telemedicine, and specific databases for nephrology have changed the pattern of kidney disease diagnosis, treatment, and management for a nephrologist and care providers[3]. This article discusses the use of information systems in nephrology, including current methods, challenges that have been encountered, and the future of nephrological care concerning these advances**.**

## Methodology:

Research question: "What is the impact of information systems, including EHRs, CDSS, telemedicine, and data analytics, on the management and outcomes of chronic kidney disease (CKD) and acute kidney injury (AKI)?"

**Inclusion Criteria**:

- Studies published in peer-reviewed journals that involve information systems in nephrology.
- Research focusing specifically on EHRs, CDSS, telemedicine, data analytics, patient management, CKD, AKI, or data integration.
- Quantitative, qualitative, and mixed-method studies.
- Studies published within a specified time frame (e.g., within the last 10 years).
- Articles available in English or translatable.

**Exclusion Criteria**:

- Studies unrelated to nephrology or kidney diseases.
- Research involving information systems outside the context of CKD and AKI.
- Non-peer-reviewed articles, dissertations, and gray literature that do not go through rigorous academic scrutiny.

**A Comprehensive Literature Search done in Select Databases**:

- PubMed, Cochrane Library, Scopus, Web of Science, IEEE Xplore, CINAHL, Google Scholar

Search Strategy:

- Create structured search queries using the keywords with Boolean operators. For instance:
  - ("Information Systems" OR "EHRs" OR "CDSS" OR "Telemedicine" OR "Data Analytics" OR "Patient Management Systems") AND ("Chronic Kidney Disease" OR "CKD" OR "Acute Kidney Injury" OR "AKI") AND "Data Integration".

- Two-Step Screening Process:
  1. Title and Abstract Screening: Review the titles and abstracts of all retrieved records to identify studies that meet the inclusion criteria.
  2. Full-Text Review: Obtain and assess the full texts of articles that pass the initial screening to confirm eligibility.
     - Study information (authors, publication year, study design).
     - Details about the information system types analyzed (e.g., EHRs, CDSS).
     - Outcomes measured (e.g., patient outcomes, efficiency, quality of care).
     - Findings related to CKD and AKI management.

- Methodology utilized in each study (qualitative, quantitative, mixed-method).

We Used established quality assessment tools appropriate for the study designs:

- The Cochrane Risk of Bias tool for randomized controlled trials.
- The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for observational studies.
- Assess the methodological rigour, bias risk, and overall quality of evidence.

- **Synthesis Methods**:
  - **Quantitative Studies**: If applicable, consider conducting a meta-analysis to quantitatively assess the effectiveness of the information systems on outcomes in nephrology.
  - **Qualitative Studies**: Use thematic analysis or narrative synthesis to summarize qualitative findings, identifying common themes and patterns in the data.
- Evaluate for potential publication bias by examining the studies included in the systematic review: Use funnel plots or statistical tests such as Egger's test if sufficient studies are included. Identify and discuss the limitations of the systematic review:
  - Limitations in the evidence base (e.g., variability in study quality, lack of standardized measures).
  - Challenges in data integration and method variability across studies.
- Summarize the overall findings of the review, highlighting the role and effectiveness of information systems in nephrology, particularly in managing CKD and AKI.
- Identify gaps in the existing literature and suggest directions for future research.

Methods Employed

Information systems in nephrology are critical in enhancing the care provided to patients with kidney diseases. These systems include various tools and technologies intended to enhance the efficiency of clinical workflows and are effective. Very important among these information systems include electronic health records, which offer nephrologists a centralized and comprehensive view of a patient's medical history. This holistic perspective enables healthcare providers to make informed decisions quickly, which is essential when managing complex renal conditions.

The Role of Electronic Health Records (EHRs):

EHRs are a basic platform for collecting, storing, and analyzing patient data. They enable nephrologists to track vital information over time, which helps in understanding treatment responses and disease progression. For example, when patients undergo frequent laboratory tests related to renal function, EHRs can graph changes in key biomarkers such as serum creatinine and electrolytes, which enables a more in-depth analysis of trends that inform clinical decisions[4].

In addition, EHRs provide more efficient communication between the providers of care to a patient. Renal care often will be coordinated through interactions among a multidisciplinary team, including primary care, specialists, pharmacists, dietitians, and nurses. EHRs allow smooth sharing of relevant clinical information so that there is less chance of miscommunication, and all care team members are updated with the latest information. Another positive aspect of integrating EHR is that it alerts providers regarding significant laboratory results, thus allowing for intervention promptly, which promotes patient safety and improved care outcomes[5].

Still, the implementation of EHR in general has difficulties associated with it. Some providers experience several issues related to the complexity of the systems, including slow training times and data privacy and security issues. This also leads to the concern over data accuracy

during the transition from paper-based records to EHRs, if proper protocols are not followed in data entry and updates. Hence, though EHRs constitute a powerful tool for modern nephrology, their effective use demands continuous training, assessment, and refinement[6].

The Rise of Telemedicine in Nephrology:

Telemedicine has also altered the method in which nephrology care is delivered. Patients who suffer from CKD need regular follow-ups, and this has become one of the better uses of telehealth platforms as it allows nephrologists to remotely conduct consultations. This way, patients do not have to move around extensively to visit healthcare facilities; it has made the way of treatments more convenient since patients with other problems or patients who come from underserved areas, where speciality care access is limited, can seek consultations conveniently[7].

Telemedicine saves time for both patients and providers and adds to the continuity of care. Consultations by nephrologists with remote locations enable them to closely monitor all aspects of the condition and intervene promptly when necessary. Telehealth also assists in education, allowing patients to fully understand their conditions, adhere to treatment regimens, and improve their lifestyle choices. Such participation is essential in CKD management where patient adherence to diets, medication, and scheduled follow-ups impact clinical outcomes substantially[8].

Telemedicine is indeed a promise despite facing significant hurdles for universal diffusion. Technology may vary and other patients do not have the availability of the steady internet and unavailability of technology skills on various digital healthcare fronts. There has been considerable conflict on how payments should be considered and the scope of reimbursements. Policies continue to evolve. Therefore, most of these impediments are relevant for solving this potential gap left in telemedicine within nephrology[9].

Clinical decision support systems and data analytics:

The second important aspect of information systems in nephrology is the Clinical Decision Support System (CDSS). It uses complex algorithms and clinical rules to deliver evidence-based suggestions with the intent to help nephrologists make timely and proper decisions. For instance, CDSS may remind healthcare providers of potential drug interactions, provide the need for further tests when results are abnormal, and alert patients eligible for certain interventions. Nephrologists have access to personalized recommendations that are tailored to each patient's unique clinical profile by integrating CDSS with EHRs[10].

Beyond CDSS, the use of data analytics and machine learning in nephrology is also poised to bring more improvements in patient care. Data analysis allows for the extraction of meaningful patterns in patient outcomes and treatment effectiveness from large datasets. Predictive analytics allows nephrologists to identify at-risk patients for complications, allowing them to implement interventions that prevent such adverse outcomes and improve quality of life[11].

For example, through machine learning algorithms, the probability of each patient's progression to ESRD can be determined based on historical clinical data. Such predictive capability can allow nephrologists to tailor treatment strategies for high-risk patients by monitoring them more closely, offering them specific dietary planning, or referring them early to renal replacement therapy. Such improvements present the trend toward personalized medicine in nephrology, thereby allowing healthcare professionals to reach individualized care by developing insights founded on data[12].

In conclusion, information systems in nephrology, including EHRs, telemedicine, CDSS, and data analytics, have substantially improved patient care and clinical outcomes. They facilitate effective communication, enhance access to care, and empower nephrologists to make informed decisions grounded in comprehensive patient data. However, ongoing challenges must be addressed, including technological disparities, data privacy, and system integration issues. Information systems can revolutionize nephrology further if more investment in technological advancements and training is made, which can enhance patient satisfaction and better health outcomes for patients with kidney diseases[13].

Challenges in Information Systems in Nephrology

Despite the numerous advantages that information systems offer in nephrology, several challenges persist that inhibit their full potential and ultimately affect patient care. Among the significant issues is data integration. Most healthcare institutions are using a plethora of disparate systems that fail to communicate effectively with one another. This fragmentation of patient information may lead to situations where key data points are inaccessible or underutilized. For nephrologists, whose decisions depend on a very comprehensive understanding of a patient's health including lab results, medication history, and previous interventions lack of interoperability is a very serious impediment[14].

Information systems need to be integrated effectively to provide the best possible care. Such is a field as complex as nephrology, and any mishaps can have profound implications on patients' health. Diffused data across different systems makes it difficult to combine a well-rounded profile of the patient and leads to delays in proper diagnosis, miscommunication of the treatment plan, and suboptimal outcomes for patients. This means that the inability of the systems to converse may add more administrative burdens on the health providers who may have to manually reconcile the different sources. An all-out effort to evaluate present infrastructures and investment in interoperable technologies is necessary to overcome this problem[15].

Resistance to Change and Training Challenges

Another significant barrier to the effective implementation of information systems in nephrology is the resistance to change among healthcare professionals. Transitioning from traditional systems to advanced tools such as Electronic Health Records (EHRs) and Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS) often poses cultural and operational challenges. Healthcare providers accustomed to established workflows may be hesitant to adopt new technologies, fearing that these changes will disrupt their routines or complicate their work processes[16]. This resistance can stem from a variety of factors, including fear of technology-driven job displacement, concerns about the reliability of new systems, and scepticism about the tangible benefits of these innovations.

Inadequate training serves to compound these issues, further hindering the effective utilization of information systems. Without comprehensive training programs that equip healthcare professionals with the necessary skills to navigate new technologies, it is unlikely that the full advantages of these systems will be realized[17]. This lack of preparedness can lead to frustration and disillusionment among staff, fostering an environment where advanced tools may be underutilized or misused. Additionally, concerns regarding data security and privacy can exacerbate resistance; healthcare providers may be wary of transitioning to digital systems due to fear of breaches or data misuse. Addressing these challenges requires a committed investment in training and change management, along with robust communication regarding the benefits and safeguards of new systems[18].

Ensuring Data Quality

A significant challenge also lies within the domain of data quality of nephrology information systems. Data that is not adequate or not properly collected may mislead the provider in making any effective clinical decision. Misled treatment may end up jeopardizing patient safety

altogether. For example, improper entry of lab results into an EHR or failure to enter critical health history may result in inappropriate prescribing, delayed diagnoses, or improper monitoring of treatment responses. The adverse effects of poor data quality are more concerning in nephrology because precision in dosage, renal function monitoring, and comorbidities are essential for ensuring patient safety[19].

The above risks have to be mitigated by robust data governance protocols. These should include standardized procedures for data entry, regular audits for data integrity, and training staff on the importance of accurate data management. Advanced technologies like AI and machine learning algorithms can be used by organizations to identify discrepancies in patient data and correct them. Such tools can provide real-time alerts for concerning trends, ensuring that nephrologists have the most accurate information available for making critical care decisions[20].

General, though information systems may signify opportunities to advance nephrology through the improvements they bring in patient care and maximizing clinical workflows, major challenges have to be met. Data integration, managing resistance against technological change, and ensuring data quality would also be issues of concern that would impact the ability to unleash the full potential of information systems in nephrology. Overcoming these challenges will allow healthcare institutions to improve the quality of care provided to patients suffering from kidney diseases with proper investment in relevant training, governance, and technological advancements.

**Conclusion**

The incorporation of information systems into nephrology represents a paradigm shift in how kidney diseases are diagnosed, treated, and monitored. Techniques such as electronic health records, telemedicine, clinical decision support systems, and data analytics together improve the efficiency and effectiveness of nephrological care. However, to fully realize the potential of these systems, challenges that relate to data integration, training, data quality, and financial constraints must be addressed.

Because nephrology depends highly on ever-improving technologies, innovations like artificial intelligence and big data in its next iterations can serve the speciality very well, supporting tailored, enhanced care of every patient. Emphasizing successful information systems deployment and utilization could potentially position nephrology toward using better information-related methodologies for smooth care coordination aimed at enhanced control over renal pathology for patients as a final effect on improving living and patient-related quality. Cooperation and coordination among care providers, the policymaking entities, and those in technology design will be integral in the formulation of a viable infrastructure that could foster further infusion of information systems into nephrology.

**All the authors have contributed significantly to the work**

**There is no external financial aid**

**There is no conflict of interest**

**References:**

1) Selvaskandan H, Gee PO, Seethapathy H. Technological innovations to improve patient engagement in nephrology. Adv Kidney Dis Health. 2024;31(1):28-36. doi: 10.1053/j.akdh.2023.11.001.
2) Hong TL, Dave Y, Khant A, Verma L, Chauhan M, Parthasarathy S. The future of personalized medicine and Internet of Things: Reshaping healthcare treatment plans and patient experiences. J Intell Syst Internet Things. 2025;14(1):230-240. doi: 10.54216/JISIoT.140118.
3) Low S, Vathsala A, Murali TM, et al. Electronic health records accurately predict renal replacement therapy in acute kidney injury. BMC Nephrol. 2019;20(1):32. doi: 10.1186/s12882-019-1206-4.
4) Honavar SG. Electronic medical records - The good, the bad and the ugly. Indian J Ophthalmol. 2020 Mar;68(3):417-418. doi: 10.4103/ijo.IJO_278_20. PMID: 32056991; PMCID: PMC7043175.

5) Vos JFJ, Boonstra A, Kooistra A, Seelen M, van Offenbeek M. The influence of electronic health record use on collaboration among medical specialties. BMC Health Serv Res. 2020 Jul 22;20(1):676. doi: 10.1186/s12913-020-05542-6. PMID: 32698807; PMCID: PMC7374868.
6) Kim MK, Rouphael C, McMichael J, Welch N, Dasarathy S. Challenges in and opportunities for electronic health record-based data analysis and interpretation. Gut Liver. 2024 Mar 15;18(2):201-208. doi: 10.5009/gnl230272. Epub 2023 Oct 31. PMID: 37905424; PMCID: PMC10938158.
7) Sugawara Y, Hirakawa Y, Nangaku M. Telemedicine in nephrology: future perspective and solutions. Clin Kidney J. 2024 Nov 22;17(Suppl 2):1-8. doi: 10.1093/ckj/sfae267. PMID: 39583140; PMCID: PMC11581765.
8) Manko CD, Apple BJ, Chang AR, Romagnoli KM, Johannes BL. Telemedicine in advanced kidney disease and kidney transplant: A qualitative meta-analysis of studies of patient perspectives. Kidney Med. 2024 Jul 1;6(7):100849. doi: 10.1016/j.xkme.2024.100849.
9) Hailey D. Telehealth in nephrology care—Promises and challenges. Am J Kidney Dis. 2016 Jul;68(1):5-7. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2016.04.008.
10) Sperl-Hillen J, Crain AL, Wetmore JB, Chumba LN, O'Connor PJ. A CKD clinical decision support system: A cluster randomized clinical trial in primary care clinics. Kidney Med. 2023 Dec 12;6(3):100777. doi: 10.1016/j.xkme.2023.100777. PMID: 38435072; PMCID: PMC10906435.
11) Chan L, Vaid A, Nadkarni GN. Applications of machine learning methods in kidney disease: hope or hype? Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens. 2020 May;29(3):319-326. doi: 10.1097/MNH.0000000000000604. PMID: 32235273; PMCID: PMC7770625.
12) Bai Q, Su C, Tang W, et al. Machine learning to predict end stage kidney disease in chronic kidney disease. Sci Rep. 2022;12:8377. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-12316-z.
13) Sittig DF, Wright A, Coiera E, Magrabi F, Ratwani R, Bates DW, Singh H. Current challenges in health information technology-related patient safety. Health Informatics J. 2020 Mar;26(1):181-189. doi: 10.1177/1460458218814893. Epub 2018 Dec 11. PMID: 30537881; PMCID: PMC7510167.
14) Pool J, Akhlaghpour S, Fatehi F, Burton-Jones A. A systematic analysis of failures in protecting personal health data: A scoping review. Int J Inf Manag. 2024;74:102719. doi: 10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2023.102719.
15) Herd P, Moynihan D. Health care administrative burdens: Centering patient experiences. Health Serv Res. 2021 Oct;56(5):751-754. doi: 10.1111/1475-6773.13858. Epub 2021 Sep 13. PMID: 34515996; PMCID: PMC8522557.
16) Safi S, Thiessen T, Schmailzl KJ. Acceptance and resistance of new digital technologies in medicine: Qualitative study. JMIR Res Protoc. 2018 Dec 4;7(12):e11072. doi: 10.2196/11072. PMID: 30514693; PMCID: PMC6299231.
17) Ajami S. Training and its impact on hospital information system (HIS) success. J Inf Technol Softw Eng. 2012;2:1000112. doi: 10.4172/2165-7866.1000112.
18) Endalamaw A, Khatri RB, Erku D, Zewdie A, Wolka E, Nigatu F, Assefa Y. Barriers and strategies for primary health care workforce development: synthesis of evidence. BMC Prim Care. 2024 Mar 27;25(1):99. doi: 10.1186/s12875-024-02336-1. PMID: 38539068; PMCID: PMC10967164.
19) Bell SK, Delbanco T, Elmore JG, Fitzgerald PS, Fossa A, Harcourt K, Leveille SG, Payne TH, Stametz RA, Walker J, DesRoches CM. Frequency and types of patient-reported errors in electronic health record ambulatory care notes. JAMA Netw Open. 2020 Jun 1;3(6):e205867. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.5867. PMID: 32515797; PMCID: PMC7284300.
20) Fayos De Arizón L, Viera ER, Pilco M, Perera A, De Maeztu G, Nicolau A, Furlano M, Torra R. Artificial intelligence: a new field of knowledge for nephrologists? Clin Kidney J. 2023 Dec;16(12):2314-2326. doi: 10.1093/ckj/sfad182.